



# Fingerprinting Blue Carbon: Rationale and Tools to Determine the Source of Organic Carbon in Marine Depositional Environments

Nathan R. Galdi<sup>1\*</sup>, Alejandra Ortega<sup>1</sup>, Oscar Serrano<sup>2</sup>, Peter I. Macreadie<sup>3</sup>, Catherine E. Lovelock<sup>2,4</sup>, Dorte Krause-Jensen<sup>5,6</sup>, Hilary Kennedy<sup>7</sup>, Paul S. Lavery<sup>2</sup>, Michael L. Pace<sup>8</sup>, Joeri Kaal<sup>9</sup> and Carlos M. Duarte<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup> School of Science, Centre for Marine Ecosystems Research, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia, <sup>3</sup> School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Centre for Integrative Ecology, Faculty of Science, Engineering and Built Environment, Deakin University, Burwood, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup> School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, <sup>5</sup> Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Silkeborg, Denmark, <sup>6</sup> Arctic Research Centre, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, <sup>7</sup> School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Anglesey, United Kingdom, <sup>8</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States, <sup>9</sup> Departamento de Edafología e Química Agrícola, Faculdade de Biología, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Heliana Teixeira,  
University of Aveiro, Portugal

### Reviewed by:

Teresa Alexandra Ribeiro  
Rodrigues,  
Portuguese Institute for the Ocean  
and Atmosphere (IPMA), Portugal  
Beverly Johnson,  
Bates College, United States

### \*Correspondence:

Nathan R. Galdi  
nathan.galdi@kaust.edu.sa

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Marine Ecosystem Ecology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Marine Science

**Received:** 13 June 2018

**Accepted:** 02 May 2019

**Published:** 22 May 2019

### Citation:

Galdi NR, Ortega A, Serrano O,  
Macreadie PI, Lovelock CE,  
Krause-Jensen D, Kennedy H,  
Lavery PS, Pace ML, Kaal J and  
Duarte CM (2019) Fingerprinting Blue  
Carbon: Rationale and Tools  
to Determine the Source of Organic  
Carbon in Marine Depositional  
Environments. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:263.  
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00263

Blue carbon is the organic carbon in oceanic and coastal ecosystems that is captured on centennial to millennial timescales. Maintaining and increasing blue carbon is an integral component of strategies to mitigate global warming. Marine vegetated ecosystems (especially seagrass meadows, mangrove forests, and tidal marshes) are blue carbon hotspots and their degradation and loss worldwide have reduced organic carbon stocks and increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Carbon markets, and conservation and restoration schemes aimed at enhancing blue carbon sequestration and avoiding greenhouse gas emissions, will be aided by knowing the provenance and fate of blue carbon. We review and critique current methods and the potential of nascent methods to track the provenance and fate of organic carbon, including: bulk isotopes, compound-specific isotopes, biomarkers, molecular properties, and environmental DNA (eDNA). We find that most studies to date have used bulk isotopes to determine provenance, but this approach often cannot distinguish the contribution of different primary producers to organic carbon in depositional marine environments. Based on our assessment, we recommend application of multiple complementary methods. In particular, the use of carbon and nitrogen isotopes of lipids along with eDNA have a great potential to identify the source and quantify the contribution of different primary producers to sedimentary organic carbon in marine ecosystems. Despite the promising potential of these new techniques, further research is needed to validate them. This critical overview can inform future research to help underpin methodologies for the implementation of blue carbon focused climate change mitigation schemes.

**Keywords:** blue carbon, carbon accounting, environmental DNA, isotopes, organic carbon, sequestration

## INTRODUCTION

Blue carbon ecosystems (i.e., tidal marshes, mangrove and seagrass meadows) constitute hotspots of carbon cycling and are among the largest carbon sinks in the biosphere (Nellemann et al., 2009; Duarte et al., 2013). Among the multiple ecosystem services that coastal vegetated ecosystems provide, the potential to sequester and retain large carbon stocks over millennial timescales has generated interest among scientists and policy makers (Nellemann et al., 2009; Fourqurean et al., 2012; Duarte et al., 2013). Blue carbon strategies describe a range of activities for preventing or mitigating carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions through the conservation and restoration of coastal vegetated ecosystems (Wylie et al., 2016), which rank among the most threatened ecosystems on Earth (Duarte et al., 2013).

The accumulation of carbon stocks is the result of higher accretion rates than decomposition and erosion rates of C-containing materials (detritus and sediment). There are several reasons why blue carbon ecosystems accumulate organic matter and are hotspots of carbon sequestration. First, they are highly productive ecosystems converting CO<sub>2</sub> into plant biomass. Second, above-ground macrophyte biomass enhances deposition through altering water flow, and the below-ground biomass reduces erosion and adds organic matter to anoxic soils. These characteristics result in the net accumulation of both living and dead organic material produced within the ecosystem (*autochthonous*), and/or from external sources (*allochthonous*) (Kennedy et al., 2010; Saintilan et al., 2013). Third, soils within blue carbon ecosystems have low oxygen concentrations which reduce decomposition, thereby contributing to the accumulation and preservation of organic carbon (C<sub>org</sub>) in the marine environment (Nellemann et al., 2009; Mcleod et al., 2011; Duarte et al., 2013). Although soil and sediment can have distinct definitions, we use them interchangeably to facilitate comprehension among different disciplines.

The value of blue carbon ecosystems in sequestering C<sub>org</sub> has intensified conservation interests as a measure to mitigate climate change and offset CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, while both autochthonous and allochthonous sources contribute to the soil C<sub>org</sub> pool in blue carbon ecosystems (Kennedy et al., 2010), the presence of allochthonous C<sub>org</sub> complicates carbon accounting exercises, because of the risk of duplicating carbon sequestration gains that may have already been accounted for where allochthonous carbon from terrestrial environments is concerned. In contrast, carbon derived from seaweed (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2016; Krause-Jensen et al., 2018), epiphytes or plankton, also included in the allochthonous inventory (Kennedy et al., 2010), are not accounted elsewhere and could be included in reports of carbon inventories from blue carbon habitats.

Knowing the origin of C<sub>org</sub> (often termed “provenance” within carbon accounting settings) in marine depositional environments is important to decipher biogeochemical cycles and underpin management as it indicates: (1) the key producers of organic matter accumulated within blue carbon ecosystems and other marine depositional environments; (2) the degree of connectivity within and among marine and terrestrial ecosystems; (3) the ultimate fate of the large C<sub>org</sub> flux exported from vegetated coastal

habitats; and (4) the potential shifts in ecosystem functioning under global change threats.

Knowledge of carbon sources and fluxes among terrestrial and marine ecosystems is useful for managers and policy-makers. This includes the determination of whether local site management is suitable to enhance or maintain blue carbon ecosystems, or whether activities that are off-site, for example those occurring in adjoining watersheds or habitats, are needed to achieve ecosystem management goals. For instance, epiphytic macroalgae are often abundant in seagrass meadows and associated with mangrove areal roots, yet the contribution of algae to soil C<sub>org</sub> stocks, food webs or its export to adjacent habitats is debated (Howard et al., 2017). Additionally, carbon from macroalgae (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2016) and seagrass (Duarte and Krause-Jensen, 2017) growing in coastal habitats can be found in deep ocean environments, and there is the possibility that carbon from mangroves and tidal marshes is also exported to the deep ocean.

Thus, there is a need to elucidate both the sources of C<sub>org</sub> in blue carbon soils as well as the contribution of these habitats and other primary producers to carbon sequestration in depositional environments (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2016; Duarte and Krause-Jensen, 2017). Understanding connectivity, in terms of carbon flows across marine ecosystems, is key to support blue carbon accounting as well as the successful management of aquatic ecosystems within land- and seascapes (Smale et al., 2018).

The purpose of this paper is to synthesize existing information on C<sub>org</sub> provenance in marine systems and the methods used, and then determine what techniques could be used to improve our understanding of blue carbon sources. Although we focus on well-studied vegetated habitats, depositional environments in the open ocean can also provide an important global sink of blue carbon, including carbon from planktonic sources and carbon exported from blue carbon ecosystems reaching the deep sea (Duarte and Krause-Jensen, 2017). Export of carbon from the surface ocean to oceanic sinks is enhanced by oceanic fronts (Stukel et al., 2017), and marine canyons also concentrate carbon fluxes from coastal vegetated systems to oceanic sinks (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2016). Embedding deep ocean sinks into blue carbon frameworks is currently hindered by, among other things, difficulty in identifying the sources of carbon to those sinks (Krause-Jensen et al., 2018). The methods we discuss are also applicable to trace the C<sub>org</sub> provenance in the deep ocean and possibly to track oceanic fronts (Khare and Chaturvedi, 2012).

## Overview of Blue Carbon Provenance

Bulk properties of soils such as C and N elemental (%) and stable isotopic ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) composition have been widely tested and used for quantifying sources of organic matter in mangrove, tidal marsh and seagrass soils (Kennedy et al., 2010; Greiner et al., 2016). These tracers have been used to differentiate between terrestrial and marine sources of organic matter (Fry and Sherr, 1989), among marine sources with distinct isotopic ratios such as seagrasses, seston, and macroalgae (e.g., Kennedy et al., 2010; Greiner et al., 2016) and between C3 and C4 vegetation (Smith and Epstein, 1970). Apportioning

of organic matter sources using C and N concentration and isotopes depends on: (1) accurate knowledge of potential source values; (2) sources with significantly different values; and (3) the assumption of little or no alteration of source values during decomposition (Fourqurean and Schrlau, 2003; Bouillon et al., 2008). Yet, sources of organic matter in coastal ecosystems can be complex with variable and overlapping isotopic values among plant species, tissues, microhabitats, seasons and growth cycle, which complicates the use of bulk C and N isotopic ratios to discern  $C_{org}$  sources (Marchand et al., 2003; Blair and Aller, 2012). For example, it is difficult to distinguish the contribution of mangrove, tidal marsh and other terrestrial plants to soil  $C_{org}$  using  $\delta^{13}C$  because these sources have similar isotopic values (Saintilan et al., 2013). Thus, there is a need for methods to complement the information provided by C and N isotopic values (Cloern et al., 2002). Recent studies have highlighted that more specific markers, such as eDNA and compound-specific isotopes, could help reduce uncertainty when determining the sources of  $C_{org}$  (Reef et al., 2017). Therefore, the analyses of additional proxies that are reviewed here, have the potential to greatly enhance our understanding of the fluxes of  $C_{org}$  in marine systems (see **Table 1** for summary).

## Recent Developments in Tracing Carbon Provenance

### Bulk Hydrogen, Oxygen and Sulfur Isotopes

In addition to  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  isotopic ratios, studies tracing the origin of organic matter in marine and freshwater ecosystems have measured  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta^2H$ , and  $\delta^{34}S$  (Peterson and Fry, 1987). The emerging use of  $\delta^2H$  in marine soils has potential to provide evidence for the source of organic matter.  $\delta^2H$  values have been used to measure resource use by aquatic consumers demonstrating the utility of this method to potentially be used to track  $C_{org}$  provenance. For example, a combination of  $\delta^2H$  and C and N isotopes was used to determine clams' consumption of organic matter derived from macroalgae and microalgae (Hondula and Pace, 2014). Discriminating the diet of clams was possible because microalgae, macroalgae, seagrass and wetland macrophytes differ in  $\delta^2H$  (Hondula and Pace, 2014). In addition, Duarte et al. (2018) recently showed that Red Sea seagrass and macroalgae have distinct  $\delta^2H$  signatures and that the combination of  $\delta^2H$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  holds promise to discriminate these carbon sources in  $C_{org}$  sediment stocks. Several factors such as photosynthesis, lipid content, isotopic discrimination during water uptake, biochemical and biophysical processes, and environmental seasonality allow differentiation of  $\delta^2H$  values in primary producers (Hondula et al., 2014; Ladd and Sachs, 2015; Adame et al., 2016).

A combination of  $\delta^{18}O$  with  $\delta^2H$  could be used to determine the provenance of  $C_{org}$  in sediment and although using this combination has not been used for this to our knowledge, the following examples demonstrate its relevance for tracking  $C_{org}$  provenance. While  $\delta^{18}O$  is not altered upon water uptake by plants (Roden et al., 2000), it is fractionated during photosynthesis leading to variation of  $\delta^{18}O$  values of plant biomass (Barbour et al., 2007). Variation of  $\delta^{18}O$  in

mangrove woody biomass has been linked to variation in rainfall (Verheyden et al., 2004) and salinity (Ish-Shalom-Gordon et al., 1992). Yet, direct watershed comparison of  $\delta^{18}O$  of biomass from co-occurring terrestrial and aquatic species are needed to validate this technique. Moreover, the isotopic values of  $\delta^2H$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of water in mangrove stem water are distinct from those of adjacent terrestrial plants (Wei et al., 2013) and vary with rainfall and among species (Santini et al., 2015; Lovelock et al., 2017), but direct comparison of isotopic values in water with biomass from the same plants have not yet been made.

There are complexities in using  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta^2H$  ratios to identify unique carbon sources. First, isotopic composition of primary producers is not universal and second, the source materials are required to determine provenance on a case-by-case basis. Some complications such as the presence of inorganic hydrogen and the exchange of hydrogen after soil collection, may be overcome with the use of stringent extraction and drying methods (Chesson et al., 2009; Meier-Augenstein et al., 2013; Ruppenthal et al., 2013; Soto et al., 2017). However, other complications remain to be solved including measuring only a small fraction of total organic matter due to incomplete extraction (<80% of the total organic matter; Ruppenthal et al., 2013), accounting for source specific element ratios (i.e., C/H and C/O), isolating non-exchangeable H, and determining the effect of bacterial degradation on  $\delta^2H$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ . While these isotopic tracers are successfully used to study animal movement (Rubenstein and Hobson, 2004) and could assess food web interactions (Zanden et al., 2016), there is little or no information on how bulk values may change during decomposition. In summary,  $\delta^2H$  along with  $\delta^{18}O$  may be used to determine contribution of different primary producers to organic matter in soils or possibly even organic matter derived from mangrove trees in different environments. However, their use to discriminate sources of  $C_{org}$  in blue carbon sediments has not yet been attempted and the complications mentioned should be addressed to determine the accuracy of these methods.

Measuring sulfur isotopes also has the potential to discriminate sources of  $C_{org}$  in marine depositional environments. Exposure of plant roots to sulfide in marine soils affects their sulfur isotopic values due to incorporation of  $^{34}S$ -depleted sulfides (leading to lower  $\delta^{34}S$  values), which does not occur in non-rooted primary producers such as macroalgae (Peterson and Fry, 1987). When used in combination with other tracers, sulfur stable isotopes improve the elucidation of sources of organic matter. For instance, Moncreiff and Sullivan (2001) used  $\delta^{34}S$ , in combination with  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$ , to resolve the contribution of seagrass and epiphytic algae to the diet of marine consumers. Connolly et al. (2004) reviewed estuarine and marine food web studies to conclude that the use of  $\delta^{34}S$  isotopes, in combination with  $\delta^{13}C$ , yields a high probability of distinguishing the contribution of different producers to aquatic food webs. However, whereas  $\delta^{34}S$  has been used extensively to resolve food sources in food web studies, the use of this isotope ratio to discriminate sources of  $C_{org}$  in marine soils is more complicated. Soils containing sulfide mineral are common when anoxic conditions pertain in the sediment and these minerals are about 40% depleted in  $^{34}S$  compared to seawater sulfate. Isotopic analysis for  $\delta^{34}S$  uses roughly the same technology as

**TABLE 1** | Summary of the advantages, and potential limitations for techniques to discern the flow of organic carbon in marine ecosystems.

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages	Discern source identity	Discern source contribution	Cost per sample (\$US)
Bulk C and N isotopes	Wealth of information on sources and limitations	Limitations in discerning multiple sources	medium	medium	~10
Bulk H and O isotopes	Discern contribution of terrestrial and mangrove carbon sources and possibly different plant tissues	Changes in hydrogen isotope after collection and presence of inorganic hydrogen	unknown	unknown	~25
Bulk Sulfur isotopes	Showed utility in food web studies	Not enough known about transformation in soils	unknown	unknown	~20
Biomarkers (e.g., lipids)	Good for discerning between terrestrial and marine organic matter	Can be difficult to apportion to specific sources	medium	medium	~50–300
Compound-specific isotopes (e.g., lipid isotopes and amino acid isotopes)	Larger specificity and stability than bulk org. matter	Not enough known about transformation in soils	medium	high	~200
eDNA	Identify source to species	Breadth and accuracy of macrophyte primers need to be tested	high	medium*	~30

\*Experiments still need to be conducted to test both the variation in DNA degradation among species and compared to other organic carbon components.

for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , but it is recommended that non-acidified samples are run to maintain the integrity of the soil  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  for sulfur isotope analysis (Connolly and Schlacher, 2013), and if bulk soil is analyzed the resultant  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  values is a measure of both inorganic and organic sulfur isotopic composition which can be much more isotopically depleted than any of the potential  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  sources (Oreska et al., 2018). Although this represents an untapped research opportunity, a first step would be to employ methodology that separates inorganic from organic sulfur in the soils prior to analysis. In addition, it remains to be investigated how the incorporation of reduced sulfur into organic matter affects the  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  of  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  during diagenesis.

### Molecular Properties of Bulk Organic Matter

There are multiple methods to characterize the chemical composition of organic carbon in soils (Derrien et al., 2017). The most common sources of organic matter in blue carbon ecosystems (vascular plants, macroalgae, phytoplankton, fungi, bacteria, zooplankton, etc.) can have different biopolymer chemical composition (e.g., polysaccharides, proteins, lignin, chitin, peptidoglycan). Differences in biopolymer identity and abundance can be analyzed with infrared spectroscopy (IR) to characterize marine  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  (Benner et al., 1992; Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2017). IR is a rapid, non-destructive and cost-efficient method and is therefore a very useful tool for bulk chemical characterization of blue carbon. However, a disadvantage of using IR is that some sources can have similar biopolymer fingerprints. Another complementary method to characterize the composition of  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  is nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR). Broad morphological, physical and chemical characteristics of organic matter fractions can be determined with  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR, including dissolved and particulate  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ , and recalcitrant organic matter. Although  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR has been used to describe  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  in terrestrial soils (Baldock et al., 2004), the use in marine systems maybe more complex because the marine  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$

often undergoes greater levels of processing before deposition compared to  $\text{C}_{\text{org}}$  in terrestrial systems (Baldock et al., 2004; Hayes et al., 2017; Kelleway et al., 2017; Macreadie et al., 2017). An area for future research is to develop molecular mixing models with the application of chemometric approaches (Doucet et al., 2008) based on both IR and NMR results to characterize blue carbon sources.

Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) can also be applied to blue carbon fingerprinting. Similarly, pyrolysis has been used to discern allochthonous and autochthonous organic matter in mangrove soils (Marchand et al., 2008). GC-MS can identify the thermal or chemical degradation of macromolecules. For example, lignin composition differs between angiosperms vs. gymnosperms and between woody tissues vs. non-woody tissues (Haddad and Martens, 1987). Thus, lignin can be analyzed by GC-MS after cupric oxide oxidation, which can provide information on the abundance and source of plant material. Lignin can also be analyzed by thermal degradation using analytical pyrolysis which can then be analyzed with GC-MS (Py-GC-MS; Carr et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2016). Due to the invasive nature of pyrolytic breakdown, Py-GC-MS is quantitatively weak but has the advantage that it also provides information on other macromolecular materials, such as polysaccharides, proteins, chitin (in zooplankton and fungi), peptidoglycan (in bacteria), chlorophyll (in phytoplankton), charred organic matter (an important type of recalcitrant organic matter) and others (e.g., cutin, suberin, algaenan, and tannin; Carr et al., 2010 and references therein). Such information can be useful not only as a molecular screening method to identify sources, but can also be used to compliment or validate less complex data from IR, elemental or isotopic analysis. Analysis of the molecular properties of bulk organic matter does necessitate specific and advanced analytical methodologies such as IR, NMR, GC-MS, and PY-GC-MS.

## Biomarkers (Targeted Compounds)

Biomarkers, such as *n*-alkanes and phenolic compounds, have been proposed as taxonomic fingerprints and the biomarker profile of some marine primary producers has been characterized (Zidorn, 2016; Gachet et al., 2017). Lipids can provide convenient biomarkers to trace the source and fate of  $C_{org}$  and have great potential as a molecular biomarker for coastal systems (Derrien et al., 2017). For example, *n*-alkanes lipids together with stable C and N isotopic compositions, have been used to quantify the source of  $C_{org}$  along estuarine gradients (Jaffé et al., 2001; He et al., 2014). In addition, *n*-alkanes can indicate the relative proportion of terrestrial and marine sources of organic matter (Silliman et al., 1996; Ortiz et al., 2013) and can differentiate the source of organic matter within coastal systems among terrestrial sources, emergent aquatic plants, and submerged macrophytes (Sikes et al., 2009). Other compounds with the potential to fingerprint  $C_{org}$  include proteins, such as glomalin which has been used to indicate terrestrial-derived carbon in blue carbon soils (Adame et al., 2012; López-Merino et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018). In general, biogeochemical plasticity of biomarkers can exist within species based on their geographical distribution and through time (Derrien et al., 2017). Similar to molecular properties of bulk organic matter, biomarker analysis requires advanced analytical methodologies, for example liquid chromatography (LC, HPLC), GC and MS. Biogeochemical plasticity of biomarkers can exist within species based on their geographical distribution and through time (Derrien et al., 2017). A recent review provides a good overview of using biomarkers to trace organic matter (Derrien et al., 2017). To date, few studies have used this approach to fingerprint sources of  $C_{org}$  in blue carbon soils, and some studies have used biomarkers in combination with compound-specific isotopes (Apostolopoulou et al., 2015).

## Compound-Specific Isotopes (Amino Acids, Carbohydrates, Lipids)

Compound-specific stable isotopes of organic matter can enable the molecular specificity and isotopic value of compounds to be exploited concomitantly to trace the origin and fate of organic matter (Evershed et al., 2007; Chikaraishi, 2014). Many types of amino acids, carbohydrates, and lipids have been used for isotopic fingerprinting and to trace  $C_{org}$  sources through food webs (De Troch et al., 2012; Larsen et al., 2013), with the finding that they seem to be considerably more stable and specific than that of the bulk organic matter (Larsen et al., 2015). The  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^2H$  values of sterols in living algae are consistent with those found in marine sediments, suggesting that the isotopic compositions of algal sterols are well-preserved in sediments and therefore could be used as tracers of  $C_{org}$  origin (Chikaraishi, 2006). Lastly, isotopes of amino acids, have also been identified as a powerful tracer of material origin, because environmental conditions have a minimal effect on  $\delta^{13}C$  patterns of different amino acids in seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) and giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) (Larsen et al., 2013). Thus, patterns of  $\delta^{13}C$  among individual amino acids have a much greater potential than bulk  $\delta^{13}C$  to distinguish between  $C_{org}$  derived from algae, seagrass, terrestrial plants, bacteria and fungi (Larsen et al., 2013). Over

time, sedimentary diagenesis may lead to increased contribution of bacterial sources (Larsen et al., 2015), but the method still seems a promising complementary approach to overcome some of the limitations of bulk isotope analysis in estuaries and other complex environments with mixed aquatic and terrestrial inputs for determining the origin of organic matter. A few studies have used both bulk and compound-specific isotopes to track changes in the provenance of blue carbon including stable isotopes within higher plant leaf wax lipids (Johnson et al., 2007) or *n*-alkanes (Tanner et al., 2010).

## Environmental DNA

Fingerprinting marine organisms through environmental DNA (eDNA) has recently become a widely used technique to determine the presence and abundance of individual macroorganisms (Rees et al., 2014; Thomsen and Willerslev, 2015). Most of the marine research using eDNA has targeted macrofauna, while minimal attention has been given to macroflora (Thomsen and Willerslev, 2015; Goldberg et al., 2016), with only one paper to our knowledge on eDNA of macrophytes in marine sediments (Reef et al., 2017). Given that approximately 3% of cellular  $C_{org}$  is DNA (Landenmark et al., 2015) and that eDNA can identify individual species, this approach has great potential for determining the provenance of  $C_{org}$  in soils of blue carbon ecosystems. In aquatic environments, phytoplankton identified from eDNA isolated from sediments in deep water were representative of the pelagic community (Corinaldesi et al., 2011; Capo et al., 2015). However, eDNA analysis may underrepresent phytoplankton that lack hard structures (Boere et al., 2011b), and underrepresent phytoplankton compared to terrestrial plants (Boere et al., 2011a), due to differential preservation of their DNA. Currently, the sole study using eDNA to measure the provenance of blue carbon found that seagrass meadows had a greater input of autochthonous  $C_{org}$  based on eDNA than when sources of  $C_{org}$  were estimated using  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  (Reef et al., 2017). This discrepancy between methods highlights the need to experimentally test the relationship between bulk organic carbon sources and sequenced eDNA during diagenesis at different timescales.

The basic steps in analyzing eDNA using metabarcoding include isolating DNA from sediment, replicating target DNA sequences through polymerase chain reaction (PCR), determining the base pairs of the replicated sequences using next generation sequencing, and matching the sequences to known taxa. The relationship between eDNA and natural abundance is better when focusing on single taxon or species using quantitative PCR or related techniques (Yates et al., 2019), as compared to using PCR and metabarcoding which may not have a relationship between initial DNA concentration and final sequences but have the benefit of uniquely identifying many species in a single sample. To relate the number of DNA sequences to the abundance of organisms based on metabarcoding, knowledge of the factors that affect eDNA quantity in sediment and PCR bias (i.e., the preferential replication of some DNA sequences relative to others) should be identified and measured (Yoccoz et al., 2012). When using eDNA reads as an indicator of blue

carbon provenance, the factors that may alter the relationship between DNA and  $C_{org}$  should also be understood. Potential artifacts that deserve attention when using eDNA to track blue carbon include: (1) DNA may degrade at a faster rate than other  $C_{org}$  components, such as carbohydrates and other macromolecules (Volkman, 2006), so there is the potential for eDNA to underestimate allochthonous  $C_{org}$  and species with relatively less resistant cellular structure (e.g., algae vs. vascular plants); (2) primers need to be tested to ensure that the species thought to contribute to the  $C_{org}$  in soil are amplified because primer amplification is imperfect (Deagle et al., 2014); (3) the initial and amplified number of sequences may not be related when using PCR (Acinas et al., 2005); and (4) accurate fingerprinting requires that sequences for the putative source primary producers be deposited in reference data banks, which is not always the case. Recent studies have used mock samples to assess whether PCR amplicons are related to initial DNA concentration, finding that this relationship does exist for most species (Thomsen et al., 2016). Given that eDNA within aquatic sediments have been used to track changes over millennia in the surrounding terrestrial and aquatic autotroph communities (Capo et al., 2015; Sjögren et al., 2016) and  $C_{org}$  contributions (Coolen et al., 2007; Boere et al., 2011a), there is great potential for using eDNA to track the provenance and fate of  $C_{org}$  within blue carbon ecosystems (Reef et al., 2017). The potential resolution of eDNA to detail carbon contribution to species level, makes this approach unparalleled by any other approach used to fingerprint the sources of  $C_{org}$  in blue carbon soils. However, the limitations mentioned when using PCR should be addressed before inferring a relationship between metabarcoding results and contribution of  $C_{org}$ .

### Common Methodological Issues

The disparate techniques described here share limitations that can likely be addressed to improve our ability to determine the provenance of sequestered  $C_{org}$  in the marine environment (Table 1). First, all the techniques assume conserved relationships between the source of specific markers and those in sedimentary  $C_{org}$  pools, yet changes in the markers through space and time may occur, hence these changes need to be quantified. Thus, some of these methods should be considered qualitative or semi-quantitative until this assumption has been tested. Second, all methods are based on known standards, such as a comprehensive library of known DNA sequences, isotopic values of source materials or biomarker profiles, and it requires a community effort to develop and expand these standards so as to enable the full power of these techniques. Third, these methods often depend on large databases and/or necessitate computationally intensive programs to match samples with standards. For example, mixing models are often used for stable isotopes but have multiple limitations (Fry, 2013), and advances have been made such as Bayesian methods that can include additional information to reduce uncertainty in undetermined systems (Moore and Semmens, 2008). Mixing models for isotope ratios of specific compounds are less developed than for bulk isotope ratios and need further refinement to validate their use. Finally, methods are constantly being developed and specialized

to determine  $C_{org}$  properties and many are associated with specialized equipment that can be esoteric and too expensive for many researchers (see Table 1 for estimated cost). However, as is the case with DNA sequencing, many methods have and will become available to a broader scientific community as technology improves and costs continue to decrease, a consequence of the increasingly large number of researchers using these techniques.

### CONCLUSION

We reviewed and critiqued multiple methods that have the potential to improve our understanding of the provenance and fate of  $C_{org}$  within and among coastal ecosystems. Our goal is to encourage research aimed at improving the assessment of the  $C_{org}$  origin in blue carbon ecosystems which is needed to decipher biogeochemical cycles and underpin management such as blue carbon initiatives. This advance would include assessment of species of macroalgae, microphytobenthos and epifauna, which can have high production rates, but their contribution to blue carbon has been illusive because of current limitations in tracking their origin and fate. In addition, recent research has suggested that coastal primary producers, such as macroalgae and seagrass, could significantly contribute to  $C_{org}$  sequestration beyond their habitat, including in the deep ocean (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2016; Duarte and Krause-Jensen, 2017), but direct measures of their contribution are limited at best. The ability to accurately determine the identity and contribution of primary producers to soil  $C_{org}$  will likely depend on a combination of the previously discussed methods that minimize the associated limitations. In our opinion, the methodologies with the greatest potential to determine the provenance of soil  $C_{org}$  are the C and N stable isotopes of lipids to determine the quantity of discerned taxa in combination with eDNA to identify the species that contribute to the isotopic composition. The ability to mitigate the negative effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  can be aided by management schemes that maintain existing carbon storage and promote carbon sequestration. The marine environment, and blue carbon ecosystems in particular, are hotspots of carbon storage. Enhanced knowledge of the sources and fate of  $C_{org}$  stored in marine sediments is important for both managing coastal carbon stocks and understanding carbon cycling.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NG and CD conceived the idea of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing and revising of the manuscript.

### FUNDING

This manuscript was initiated at the Blue Carbon Workshop held at KAUST on March 19th to the 23rd 2017 and was funded by KAUST. NG and CD were supported by KAUST through baseline funding and by the Tarek Ahmed Juffali Research Chair in Red Sea Ecology to CD. OS was supported by an ARC

DECRA DE170101524. PM and CL acknowledge the support of an Australian Research Council Linkage Grant – LP160100242. HK was supported by the Ecosystem Services for Poverty Alleviation program Coastal Ecosystem Services in East Africa (NE/L001535/1). DK-J was supported by the Danish Center of the

Environment's eDNA synergy project and by the COCOA project under the BONUS program funded by the EU 7th framework program and the former Danish Research Council. MP was supported by the NSF Virginia Coast Reserve LTER project (DEB1237733 and DEB1832221).

## REFERENCES

- Acinas, S. G., Sarma-Rupavtarm, R., Klepac-Ceraj, V., and Polz, M. F. (2005). PCR-induced sequence artifacts and bias: insights from comparison of two 16s rRNA clone libraries constructed from the same sample. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 8966–8969. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8966-8969.2005
- Adame, M. F., Fry, B., and Bunn, S. E. (2016). Water isotope characteristics of a flood: brisbane river, Australia. *Hydrolog. Process.* 30, 2033–2041. doi: 10.1002/hyp.10761
- Adame, M. F., Wright, S. F., Grinham, A., Lobb, K., Reymond, C. E., and Lovelock, C. E. (2012). Terrestrial–marine connectivity: patterns of terrestrial soil carbon deposition in coastal sediments determined by analysis of glomalin related soil protein. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 57, 1492–1502. doi: 10.4319/lo.2012.57.5.1492
- Apostolopoulou, M.-V., Monteyne, E., Krikonis, K., Pavlopoulos, K., Roose, P., and Dehairs, F. (2015). n-Alkanes and stable C, N isotopic compositions as identifiers of organic matter sources in *Posidonia oceanica* meadows of Alexandroupolis Gulf, NE Greece. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 99, 346–355. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.033
- Baldock, J. A., Masiello, C. A., Gélinas, Y., and Hedges, J. I. (2004). Cycling and composition of organic matter in terrestrial and marine ecosystems. *Mar. Chem.* 92, 39–64. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2004.06.016
- Barbour, M. M., Farquhar, G. D., Hanson, D. T., Bickford, C. P., Powers, H., and McDowell, N. G. (2007). A new measurement technique reveals temporal variation in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of leaf-respired  $\text{CO}_2$ . *Plant Cell Environ.* 30, 456–468. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01633.x
- Benner, R., Pakulski, J. D., Mccarthy, M., Hedges, J. I., and Hatcher, P. G. (1992). Bulk chemical characteristics of dissolved organic matter in the ocean. *Science* 255, 1561–1564. doi: 10.1126/science.255.5051.1561
- Blair, N. E., and Aller, R. C. (2012). The fate of terrestrial organic carbon in the marine environment. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 4, 401–423. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142717
- Boere, A. C., Rijpstra, W. I. C., De Lange, G. J., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., and Coolen, M. J. L. (2011a). Preservation potential of ancient plankton DNA in pleistocene marine sediments. *Geobiology* 9, 377–393. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4669.2011.00290.x
- Boere, A. C., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Volkman, J. K., and Coolen, M. J. L. (2011b). Source-specific variability in post-depositional DNA preservation with potential implications for DNA based paleoecological records. *Org. Geochem.* 42, 1216–1225. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.08.005
- Bouillon, S., Borges, A. V., Castañeda-Moya, E., Diele, K., Dittmar, T., Duke, N. C., et al. (2008). Mangrove production and carbon sinks: a revision of global budget estimates. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 22:GB2013. doi: 10.1029/2007GB003052
- Capo, E., Debroas, D., Arnaud, F., and Domaizon, I. (2015). Is planktonic diversity well recorded in sedimentary DNA? Toward the reconstruction of past protistan diversity. *Microb. Ecol.* 70, 865–875. doi: 10.1007/s00248-015-0627-2
- Carr, A. S., Boom, A., Chase, B. M., Roberts, D. L., and Roberts, Z. E. (2010). Molecular fingerprinting of wetland organic matter using pyrolysis-GC/MS: an example from the southern cape coastline of South Africa. *J. Paleolimnol.* 44, 947–961. doi: 10.1007/s10933-010-9466-9
- Chesson, L. A., Podlesak, D. W., Cerling, T. E., and Ehleringer, J. R. (2009). Evaluating uncertainty in the calculation of non-exchangeable hydrogen fractions within organic materials. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 23, 1275–1280. doi: 10.1002/rcm.4000
- Chikaraishi, Y. (2006). Carbon and hydrogen isotopic composition of sterols in natural marine brown and red macroalgae and associated shellfish. *Org. Geochem.* 37, 428–436. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2005.12.006
- Chikaraishi, Y. (2014). “Treatise on geochemistry,” in *Treatise on Geochemistry—Organic Geochemistry*, 2nd Edn, eds K. Turekian and H. Holland (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 95–123.
- Cloern, J. E., Canuel, E. A., and Harris, D. (2002). Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of aquatic and terrestrial plants of the San Francisco Bay estuarine system. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 47, 713–729. doi: 10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0713
- Connolly, R. M., Guest, M. A., Melville, A. J., and Oakes, J. M. (2004). Sulfur stable isotopes separate producers in marine food-web analysis. *Oecologia* 138, 161–167. doi: 10.1007/s00442-003-1415-0
- Connolly, R. M., and Schlacher, T. A. (2013). Sample acidification significantly alters stable isotope ratios of sulfur in aquatic plants and animals. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 493, 1–8. doi: 10.3354/meps10560
- Coolen, M. J. L., Volkman, J. K., Abbas, B., Muyzer, G., Schouten, S., and Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2007). Identification of organic matter sources in sulfidic late Holocene Antarctic fjord sediments from fossil rDNA sequence analysis. *Paleoceanography* 22:A2211. doi: 10.1029/2006PA001309
- Corinaldesi, C., Barucca, M., Luna, G. M., and Dell'anno, A. (2011). Preservation, origin and genetic imprint of extracellular DNA in permanently anoxic deep-sea sediments. *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 642–654. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04958.x
- De Troch, M., Boeckx, P., Cnudde, C., Van Gansbeke, D., Vanreusel, A., Vincx, M., et al. (2012). Bioconversion of fatty acids at the basis of marine food webs: insights from a compound-specific stable isotope analysis. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 465, 53–67. doi: 10.3354/meps09920
- Deagle, B. E., Jarman, S. N., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., and Taberlet, P. (2014). DNA metabarcoding and the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I marker: not a perfect match. *Biol. Lett.* 10:20140562. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2014.0562
- Derrien, M., Yang, L., and Hur, J. (2017). Lipid biomarkers and spectroscopic indices for identifying organic matter sources in aquatic environments: a review. *Water Res.* 112, 58–71. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.023
- Doucet, F. R., Faustino, P. J., Sabsabi, M., and Lyon, R. C. (2008). Quantitative molecular analysis with molecular bands emission using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and chemometrics. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 23, 694–701. doi: 10.1039/B714219F
- Duarte, C. M., Delgado-Huertas, A., Anton, A., Carrillo-de-Albornoz, P., López-Sandoval, D. C., Agustí, S., et al. (2018). Stable Isotope ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta\text{D}$ ) composition and nutrient concentration of red sea primary producers. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5:298. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00298
- Duarte, C. M., and Krause-Jensen, D. (2017). Export from seagrass meadows contributes to marine carbon sequestration. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4:13. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00013
- Duarte, C. M., Losada, I. J., Hendriks, I. E., Mazarrasa, I., and Marbà, N. (2013). The role of coastal plant communities for climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 961–968. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1970
- Evershed, R. P., Bull, I. D., Corr, L. T., Crossman, Z. M., van Dongen, B. E., Evans, C. J., et al. (2007). “Compound-specific stable isotope analysis in ecology and paleoecology,” in *Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science*, eds R. Michener and K. Lajtha (Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell Publishing Ltd), 480–540. doi: 10.1002/9780470691854.ch14
- Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., et al. (2012). Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 505–509. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1477
- Fourqurean, J. W., and Schrlau, J. E. (2003). Changes in nutrient content and stable isotope ratios of C and N during decomposition of seagrasses and mangrove leaves along a nutrient availability gradient in Florida Bay, USA. *Chem. Ecol.* 19, 373–390. doi: 10.1080/02757540310001609370
- Fry, B. (2013). Alternative approaches for solving undetermined isotope mixing problems. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 472, 1–13. doi: 10.3354/meps10168
- Fry, B., and Sherr, E. B. (1989). “ $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  measurements as indicators of carbon flow in marine and freshwater ecosystems,” in *Stable Isotopes in Ecological Research*, eds P. W. Rundel, J. R. Ehleringer, and K. A. Nagy (New York, NY: Springer).

- Gachet, M. S., Schubert, A., Calarco, S., Bocard, J., and Gertsch, J. (2017). Targeted metabolomics shows plasticity in the evolution of signaling lipids and uncovers old and new endocannabinoids in the plant kingdom. *Sci. Rep.* 7:sre41177. doi: 10.1038/srep41177
- Goldberg, C. S., Turner, C. R., Deiner, K., Klymus, K. E., Thomsen, P. F., Murphy, M. A., et al. (2016). Critical considerations for the application of environmental DNA methods to detect aquatic species. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7, 1299–1307. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12595
- Greiner, J. T., Wilkinson, G. M., McGlathery, K. J., and Emery, K. A. (2016). Sources of sediment carbon sequestered in restored seagrass meadows. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 551, 95–105. doi: 10.3354/meps11722
- Haddad, R. I., and Martens, C. S. (1987). Biogeochemical cycling in an organic-rich coastal marine basin: 9. Sources and accumulation rates of vascular plant-derived organic material. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 51, 2991–3001. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(87)90372-3
- Hayes, M. A., Jesse, A., Hawke, B., Baldock, J., Tabet, B., Lockington, D., et al. (2017). Dynamics of sediment carbon stocks across intertidal wetland habitats of Moreton Bay, Australia. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 4222–4234. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13722
- He, D., Mead, R. N., Belicka, L., Pisani, O., and Jaffé, R. (2014). Assessing source contributions to particulate organic matter in a subtropical estuary: a biomarker approach. *Org. Geochem.* 75, 129–139. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2014.06.012
- Hondula, K. L., and Pace, M. L. (2014). Macroalgal support of cultured hard clams in a low nitrogen coastal lagoon. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 498, 187–201. doi: 10.3354/meps10644
- Hondula, K. L., Pace, M. L., Cole, J. J., and Batt, R. D. (2014). Hydrogen isotope discrimination in aquatic primary producers: implications for aquatic food web studies. *Aquat. Sci.* 76, 217–229. doi: 10.1007/s00027-013-0331-6
- Howard, J., Sutton-Grier, A., Herr, D., Kleypas, J., Landis, E., Mcleod, E., et al. (2017). Clarifying the role of coastal and marine systems in climate mitigation. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 15:42–50. doi: 10.1002/fee.1451
- Ish-Shalom-Gordon, N., Lin, G., and da Silveira Lobo Sternberg, L. (1992). Isotopic fractionation during cellulose synthesis in two mangrove species: salinity effects. *Phytochemistry* 31, 2623–2626. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(92)83598-S
- Jaffé, R., Mead, R., Hernandez, M. E., Peralba, M. C., and DiGuida, O. A. (2001). Origin and transport of sedimentary organic matter in two subtropical estuaries: a comparative, biomarker-based study. *Org. Geochem.* 32, 507–526. doi: 10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00192-3
- Johnson, B. J., Moore, K. A., Lehmann, C., Bohlen, C., and Brown, T. A. (2007). Middle to late Holocene fluctuations of C3 and C4 vegetation in a Northern New England Salt Marsh, Sprague Marsh, Phippsburg Maine. *Org. Geochem.* 38, 394–403. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.06.006
- Kelleway, J. J., Saintilan, N., Macreadie, P. I., Baldock, J. A., and Ralph, P. J. (2017). Sediment and carbon accumulation vary among vegetation assemblages in a coastal saltmarsh. *Biogeosci. Discuss.* 2017, 1–26. doi: 10.5194/bg-2017-15
- Kennedy, H., Beggins, J., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Holmer, M., Marbà, N., et al. (2010). Seagrass sediments as a global carbon sink: isotopic constraints. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 24:GB4026. doi: 10.1029/2010GB003848
- Khare, N., and Chaturvedi, S. K. (2012). Tracing the signature of various frontal systems in stable isotopes (oxygen and carbon) of the planktonic foraminiferal species *Globigerina bulloides* in the Southern Ocean (Indian Sector). *Oceanologia* 54, 311–323. doi: 10.5697/oc.54-2.311
- Krause-Jensen, D., and Duarte, C. M. (2016). Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon sequestration. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 737–742. doi: 10.1038/ngeo.2790
- Krause-Jensen, D., Lavery, P., Serrano, O., Marbà, N., Masque, P., and Duarte, C. M. (2018). Sequestration of macroalgal carbon: the elephant in the blue carbon room. *Biol. Lett.* 14:20180236. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2018.0236
- Ladd, S. N., and Sachs, J. P. (2015). Influence of salinity on hydrogen isotope fractionation in *Rhizophora mangroves* from Micronesia. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 168, 206–221. doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2015.07.004
- Landenmark, H. K. E., Forgan, D. H., and Cockell, C. S. (2015). An estimate of the total dna in the biosphere. *PLoS Biol.* 13:e1002168. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002168
- Larsen, T., Bach, L. T., Salvatelli, R., Wang, Y. V., Andersen, N., Ventura, M., et al. (2015). Assessing the potential of amino acid  $^{13}\text{C}$  patterns as a carbon source tracer in marine sediments: effects of algal growth conditions and sedimentary diagenesis. *Biogeosciences* 12, 4979–4992. doi: 10.5194/bg-12-4979-2015
- Larsen, T., Ventura, M., Andersen, N., O'Brien, D. M., Piatkowski, U., and McCarthy, M. D. (2013). Tracing carbon sources through aquatic and terrestrial food webs using amino acid stable isotope fingerprinting. *PLoS One* 8:e73441. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073441
- López-Merino, L., Serrano, O., Adame, M. F., Mateo, M. Á., and Martínez Cortizas, A. (2015). Glomalin accumulated in seagrass sediments reveals past alterations in soil quality due to land-use change. *Glob. Planet. Change* 133, 87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.08.004
- Lovelock, C. E., Reef, R., and Ball, M. C. (2017). Isotopic signatures of stem water reveal differences in water sources accessed by mangrove tree species. *Hydrobiologia* 803, 133–145. doi: 10.1007/s10750-017-3149-8
- Macreadie, P. I., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Baldock, J. A., and Kelleway, J. J. (2017). Converting beach-cast seagrass wrack into biochar: a climate-friendly solution to a coastal problem. *Sci. Total Environ.* 574, 90–94. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.021
- Marchand, C., Lallier-Vergès, E., and Baltzer, F. (2003). The composition of sedimentary organic matter in relation to the dynamic features of a mangrove-fringed coast in French Guiana. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 56, 119–130. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00134-8
- Marchand, C., Lallier-Vergès, E., Disnar, J.-R., and Kérais, D. (2008). Organic carbon sources and transformations in mangrove sediments: a rock-eval pyrolysis approach. *Org. Geochem.* 39, 408–421. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.01.018
- McLeod, E., Chmura, G. L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Björk, M., Duarte, C. M., et al. (2011). A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO<sub>2</sub>. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 9:552–560. doi: 10.1890/110004
- Meier-Augenstein, W., Hobson, K. A., and Wassenaar, L. I. (2013). Critique: measuring hydrogen stable isotope abundance of proteins to infer origins of wildlife, food and people. *Bioanalysis* 5, 751–767. doi: 10.4155/bio.13.36
- Moncreiff, C. A., and Sullivan, M. J. (2001). Trophic importance of epiphytic algae in subtropical seagrass beds: evidence from multiple stable isotope analyses. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 215, 93–106. doi: 10.3354/meps215093
- Moore, J. W., and Semmens, B. X. (2008). Incorporating uncertainty and prior information into stable isotope mixing models. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 470–480. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01163.x
- Nellemann, C., Corcoran, E., Duarte, C. M., Valdés, L., De Young, C., Fonseca, L., et al. (2009). *Blue carbon - the Role of Healthy Oceans in Binding Carbon*. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11822/18520> (accessed June 4, 2017).
- Oreska, M. P. J., Wilkinson, G. M., McGlathery, K. J., Bost, M., and McKee, B. A. (2018). Non-seagrass carbon contributions to seagrass sediment blue carbon. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 63, S3–S18. doi: 10.1002/lno.10718
- Ortiz, J. E., Moreno, L., Torres, T., Vegas, J., Ruiz-Zapata, B., García-Cortés, Á., et al. (2013). A 220 ka palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of the Fuentillejo maar lake record (Central Spain) using biomarker analysis. *Org. Geochem.* 55, 85–97. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.11.012
- Peterson, B. J., and Fry, B. (1987). Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 18, 293–320. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001453
- Reef, R., Atwood, T. B., Samper-Villarreal, J., Adame, M. F., Sampayo, E. M., and Lovelock, C. E. (2017). Using eDNA to determine the source of organic carbon in seagrass meadows. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 62, 1254–1265. doi: 10.1002/lno.10499
- Rees, H. C., Maddison, B. C., Middleditch, D. J., Patmore, J. R. M., and Gough, K. C. (2014). Review: the detection of aquatic animal species using environmental DNA – a review of eDNA as a survey tool in ecology. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 51, 1450–1459. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12306
- Roden, J. S., Lin, G., and Ehleringer, J. R. (2000). A mechanistic model for interpretation of hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in tree-ring cellulose. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 64, 21–35. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00195-7
- Rubenstein, D. R., and Hobson, K. A. (2004). From birds to butterflies: animal movement patterns and stable isotopes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19, 256–263. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2004.03.017
- Ruppenthal, M., Oelmann, Y., and Wilcke, W. (2013). Optimized demineralization technique for the measurement of stable isotope ratios of nonexchangeable H in soil organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 949–957. doi: 10.1021/es303448g
- Saintilan, N., Rogers, K., Mazumder, D., and Woodroffe, C. (2013). Allochthonous and autochthonous contributions to carbon accumulation and carbon store in southeastern Australian coastal wetlands. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 128, 84–92. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.010

- Santini, N. S., Reef, R., Lockington, D. A., and Lovelock, C. E. (2015). The use of fresh and saline water sources by the mangrove *Avicennia marina*. *Hydrobiologia* 745, 59–68. doi: 10.1007/s10750-014-2091-2
- Sikes, E. L., Uhle, M. E., Nodder, S. D., and Howard, M. E. (2009). Sources of organic matter in a coastal marine environment: evidence from n-alkanes and their  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  distributions in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. *Mar. Chem.* 113, 149–163. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2008.12.003
- Silliman, J. E., Meyers, P. A., and Bourbonniere, R. A. (1996). Record of postglacial organic matter delivery and burial in sediments of Lake Ontario. *Org. Geochem.* 24, 463–472. doi: 10.1016/0146-6380(96)00041-1
- Sjögren, P., Edwards, M. E., Gielly, L., Langdon, C. T., Croudace, I. W., Merkel, M. K. F., et al. (2016). Lake sedimentary DNA accurately records 20th Century introductions of exotic conifers in Scotland. *New Phytol.* 213, 929–941. doi: 10.1111/nph.14199
- Smale, D. A., Moore, P. J., Queirós, A. M., Higgs, N. D., and Burrows, M. T. (2018). Appreciating interconnectivity between habitats is key to blue carbon management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 16:71–73. doi: 10.1002/fee.1765
- Smith, B. N., and Epstein, S. (1970). Biogeochemistry of the stable isotopes of hydrogen and carbon in salt marsh biota. *Plant Physiol.* 46, 738–742. doi: 10.1104/pp.46.5.738
- Soto, D. X., Koehler, G., Wassenaar, L. I., and Hobson, K. A. (2017). Re-evaluation of the hydrogen stable isotopic composition of keratin calibration standards for wildlife and forensic science applications. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 31, 1193–1203. doi: 10.1002/rcm.7893
- Stukel, M. R., Aluwihare, L. I., Barbeau, K. A., Chekalyuk, A. M., Goericke, R., Miller, A. J., et al. (2017). Mesoscale ocean fronts enhance carbon export due to gravitational sinking and subduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 1252–1257. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1609435114
- Tanner, B. R., Uhle, M. E., Mora, C. I., Kelley, J. T., Schuneman, P. J., Lane, C. S., et al. (2010). Comparison of bulk and compound-specific  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  analyses and determination of carbon sources to salt marsh sediments using n-alkane distributions (Maine, USA). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 86, 283–291. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2009.11.023
- Thomsen, P. F., Møller, P. R., Sigsgaard, E. E., Knudsen, S. W., Jørgensen, O. A., and Willerslev, E. (2016). Environmental dna from seawater samples correlate with trawl catches of subarctic, deepwater fishes. *PLoS One* 11:e0165252. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165252
- Thomsen, P. F., and Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA - An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. *Biol. Conserv.* 183, 4–18. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019
- Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Macreadie, P. I., Sanderman, J., Baldock, J., Howes, J. M., and Ralph, P. J. (2017). A global assessment of the chemical recalcitrance of seagrass tissues: implications for long-term carbon sequestration. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:925. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00925
- Verheyden, A., Helle, G., Schleser, G. H., Dehairs, F., Beeckman, H., and Koedam, N. (2004). Annual cyclicity in high-resolution stable carbon and oxygen isotope ratios in the wood of the mangrove tree *Rhizophora mucronata*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27, 1525–1536. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01258.x
- Volkman, J. K. (2006). *Marine Organic Matter: Biomarkers, Isotopes and DNA*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Wang, Q., Lu, H., Chen, J., Hong, H., Liu, J., Li, J., et al. (2018). Spatial distribution of glomalin-related soil protein and its relationship with sediment carbon sequestration across a mangrove forest. *Sci. Total Environ.* 613–614, 548–556. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.140
- Wei, L., Lockington, D. A., Poh, S.-C., Gasparon, M., and Lovelock, C. E. (2013). Water use patterns of estuarine vegetation in a tidal creek system. *Oecologia* 172, 485–494. doi: 10.1007/s00442-012-2495-5
- Wylie, L., Sutton-Grier, A. E., and Moore, A. (2016). Keys to successful blue carbon projects: lessons learned from global case studies. *Mar. Policy* 65, 76–84. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2015.12.020
- Yates, M. C., Fraser, D. J., and Derry, A. M. (2019). Meta-analysis supports further refinement of eDNA for monitoring aquatic species-specific abundance in nature. *Environ. DNA*. doi: 10.1002/edn3.7
- Yoccoz, N. G., Bråthen, K. A., Gielly, L., Haile, J., Edwards, M. E., Goslar, T., et al. (2012). DNA from soil mirrors plant taxonomic and growth form diversity. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 3647–3655. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05545.x
- Zanden, V. B. H., Soto, D. X., Bowen, G. J., and Hobson, K. A. (2016). Expanding the isotopic toolbox: applications of hydrogen and oxygen stable isotope ratios to food web studies. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 4:20. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2016.00020
- Zhang, Y., Du, J., Ding, X., and Zhang, F. (2016). Comparison study of sedimentary humic substances isolated from contrasting coastal marine environments by chemical and spectroscopic analysis. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 75:378. doi: 10.1007/s12665-016-5263-8
- Zidorn, C. (2016). Secondary metabolites of seagrasses (Alismatales and Potamogetonales; Alismatidae): chemical diversity, bioactivity, and ecological function. *Phytochemistry* 124, 5–28. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2016.02.004

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Geraldini, Ortega, Serrano, Macreadie, Lovelock, Krause-Jensen, Kennedy, Lavery, Pace, Kaal and Duarte. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.