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The guidance of EV via a price-based demand response is of great significance to the security and economy of the distribution network. However, the current price-based demand response mechanisms fail to consider the spatial-temporal distribution of large-scale EVs connected to the distribution network. For tackling this challenge, this paper proposes a day-ahead economic dispatch strategy for distribution networks considering total cost price-based demand response. A two-layer model of the day-ahead economic dispatch for the distribution network is utilized to obtain the interactive calculation framework for the total cost price. The total cost price iterates between the economic dispatch model of the distribution network and the total cost price-based demand response model until no significant changes in total cost price are observed. Among them, the price-responsive load considers spatial-temporal shift of the EV charging load. Finally, simulation study case based on a modified IEEE 33-bus system is employed to demonstrate the effectiveness of this strategy for the economic operation of the distribution network.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Facing the increasingly serious energy shortage and environmental pollution problems, EVs have been vigorously developed as a low-carbon and clean means of transportation (Selvam et al., 2016). The access of large-scale EVs to the distribution network brings flexible resources to the optimal operation of the distribution network, but it also brings challenges to the security and economy of the distribution network. A large-scale EV connected to the power grid has the attributes of spatiotemporal distribution. The change of spatiotemporal distribution of its charging behavior will have an obvious impact on the power flow of the distribution network. In order to help the distribution network better accept EVs, it is necessary to guide the charging and discharging behavior of EVs in time and space. The electricity price mechanism is an important means of guidance (Liu et al., 2014). At the same time, in the electricity market environment, the key to guide EVs through electricity price is to coordinate the economy of distribution network and EV users. The distribution network guides the charging period of EVs to the low-cost period and the charging place to the low-cost charging station node by formulating the electricity price with time-space attribute, so as to improve the economic benefits of the distribution network and reduce the charging cost of users.
Therefore, it is necessary to propose a distribution network price pricing mechanism with spatial-temporal attribute, which guides EVs and controllable loads to respond to the demand of distribution network and realizes the win-win situation between distribution network and users.

At present, the pricing research considering the demand response of EVs and controllable loads is mainly from the perspective of improving users’ comfort and economic benefits. Liu Q. designed a pricing scheme that considers fluctuating costs. A demand response strategy for controllable loads and EV charging loads is proposed to optimize user electricity costs and stabilize power system load fluctuations (Liu et al., 2018). Ali S. proposed a user power mode scheduling method based on real-time price (RTP) signals, which aims to reduce power cost, peak-to-average ratio, and carbon emissions, and improve user comfort (Ali et al., 2021). Gazafroudi A. S. Amin Shokri. proposed a day-ahead and real-time two-stage stochastic model, and simulates smart home demand response schemes based on critical peak pricing (CPP), RTP, and time-of-use (TOU) price signals. Simulation results show that smart homes participate in the TOU price scheme with the greatest economic benefits (Gazafroudi et al., 2019). De Sá Ferreira Rafael. established a TOU price model based on secondary programming secondary constraints and stochastic optimization technology. This TOU price model can promote economic efficiency and improve system load structure (de Sá Ferreira et al., 2013). Most of the pricing methods for guiding load optimization are only considered from the time dimension. By formulating TOU prices, RTP, dynamic TOU prices, etc., the translation of the usage period of EVs and controllable loads are realized. In reality, however, EVs, as a mobility tool, can choose charging locations under the guidance of space electricity prices. Therefore, it is necessary to propose a pricing method for the distribution network that considers the flexibility of both the time and space dimensions of EVs.

Distribution location marginal price (DLMP) is a pricing method with spatiotemporal attributes, which can guide the interaction between the distribution network and various resources in the distribution network, reasonably allocate the resources of the distribution network, and improve the economic benefits of the operation of the distribution network. Mohsenzadeh. proposed a smart home management system optimization model, using DLMP to replace the time-based pricing mechanism, and incentivizing users to change their energy consumption habits through price (Mohsenzadeh and Pang, 2018). Canizes. formulated the dynamic charging price of electric vehicles based on DLMP, simulated the impact of price on EV behavior, and found that it greatly saved the cost of DSO and EV users (Canizes et al., 2019). Wei. proposed a DLMP method based on a three-phase current injection for robust convergence of optimal power flow. This method takes into account the participation of distributed generation (DG) and demand response, which enables DSO to obtain higher operational benefits (Wei et al., 2019).

However, in the above study, the calculation of DLMP is only the marginal power generating cost and does not take into account the fixed cost of power distribution. Under the incomplete electricity price signal, the DSO cannot recover the investment cost of distribution network construction, so the DSO cannot maximize its economic benefits. Wang. proposed an electricity price mechanism that considers the allocation of transmission costs and rationally allocates the costs of the transmission grid based on the power flow tracking method (Wang et al., 2017). On this basis, this paper applies the method to the distribution network layer. The distribution cost based on the power flow tracking method can reflect the spatial cost of the node load of the distribution network, and the power generation cost based on the power flow tracking method can reflect the relationship between the distribution network load and the market electricity price in the time dimension. Therefore, the total cost price formed by the superposition of the distribution cost and the generation cost based on the power flow tracking method has spatiotemporal attributes.

In addition, the existing research on the optimal operation of distribution network with EVs mainly uses the dispatchable load demand response in the distribution network to improve the economics of the distribution network. Xiao. proposed a scheduling optimization model of an active distribution network considering demand response uncertainty with the minimum operating cost as the goal (Xiao et al., 2020). Li. proposed an economic dispatch method for energy storage in the distribution network to mitigate the impact on the security of the distribution network due to the proliferation of EVs and DG (Li et al., 2020). Cheng. proposed a dynamic multi-objective optimal dispatch problem to integrate with the TOU price-based demand response for optimal distribution network dispatch (Cheng et al., 2022). However, the above research ignores the economic dispatching between the load and the power supply through the interaction of electricity price.

Based on the above research and analysis, this paper proposes a day-ahead economic dispatch strategy for distribution networks considering total cost price-based demand response. Firstly, the spatial-temporal distribution model of aggregated EV load is established to determine the EV delay charging aggregation of EVs in each node; Secondly, a spatial-temporal price mechanism of distribution network is designed, and the calculation method of the total cost price of distribution network considering the spatial-temporal characteristics of EV charging load is given; Then, based on the spatial-temporal electricity price mechanism and combined with the demand response of controllable load, the day ahead economic dispatching strategy of distribution network with EVs is established. This strategy can deeply encourage users to participate in the demand response of distribution network and improve the economy of distribution network and users.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows.

a) According to the mathematical relationship between EV charging capacity and time, the charging power boundary of a single EV is obtained. Based on this, the connected EVs are aggregated into charging clusters in nodes.

b) The proposed spatiotemporal electricity price mechanism uses the power flow tracking method to calculate the total cost price. Compared with the traditional DLMP, it increases
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The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 establishes the spatiotemporal distribution model of aggregated EV load. Section 3 proposes a DSO’s electricity price mechanism with spatiotemporal guidance. Section 4 gives a detailed description of the day-ahead economic dispatch strategy of the distribution network. Section 5 explains the method and process of model solving. Section 6 illustrates the effectiveness of the strategy by the simulation analysis of the IEEE 33-bus system example. Section 7 summarizes the major findings of this paper.

2 AGGREGATED EV LOAD

SPATIOTEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION MODEL

Urban areas can be divided into residential areas, work areas, and business areas according to their functional positioning. The distribution of the EV on-grid and off-grid time is closely related to the area in which it is located (Fernandez et al., 2010). According to the travel behavior characteristics of private car users, the Monte Carlo method is used to simulate the charging demand of EV users, and the spatiotemporal distribution of EV charging load is predicted. For the distribution of the EV charging sampling parameters, see Appendix Table A2.

Single EV Charging Requirements $D_{ev}$ Meet

\[ S_e = S_i + D_{ev} \]  

\[ D_{ev} = E_{ev} \eta_e \int_{t_i}^{t_e} P_{ev}(t) dt \]  

where $S_e$ is the state of charge (SOC) value at the end of charging, $S_i$ is the SOC value at the beginning of charging, $\eta_e$ is the EV charging efficiency, $P_{ev}(t)$ is the time function of charging power, $t_i$ is the time when the EV is on the grid, and $t_e$ is the time when the EV is off the grid.

$P_{ev}(t)$ can be described by its charging power boundary (Xu et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 1, the broken line a-b-d represents the energy consumption line when the EV starts charging as soon as it is on the grid until it is fully charged or when the EV leaves. The broken line a-c-d represents the energy consumption broken line that delays charging as much as possible after the EV is on the grid and is just fully charged when it leaves.

The energy boundary of aggregated EVs is obtained by superimposing the energy boundary of a single EV. Therefore, aggregated EVs charging power meets the following constraints:

\[ P_{ev}^{\min}(t) \leq P_{ev}(t) \leq P_{ev}^{\max}(t) \]  

\[ E_{ev}^{\min}(t) \leq E_{ev}(t) \leq E_{ev}^{\max}(t) \]  

\[ E_{ev}(t + \tau) = E_{ev}(t) + P_{ev}(t) \cdot \Delta t \]  

where $P_{ev}^{\min}(t)$ and $P_{ev}^{\max}(t)$ are the upper and lower limit of the charging power of aggregated EVs, respectively. $E_{ev}(t)$ is the energy of aggregated EVs. $E_{ev}^{\min}(t)$ and $E_{ev}^{\max}(t)$ are the upper and lower limits of the energy of aggregated EVs, respectively. This model controls the charging load of aggregated EVs as a whole can be used for the day-ahead forecasting occasion.

3 PRICE MECHANISM CONSIDERING THE SPATIOTEMPORAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LOAD

3.1 Day-Ahead Pricing Framework for the DSO

Figure 2 shows the DSO to participate in the electricity market operational framework. In this paper, the DSO can not only participate in the day-ahead market on the upper transmission side as a power market entity, but also optimize the flexible resources in the dispatching area and realize its own economic operation.

Since the DSO does not know the electricity price information of the next trading day before the market clears, it needs to predict the electricity price based on historical data and the forecast information of the next trading day. The predicted price is used as the electricity purchase price for the DSO to purchase electricity from the independent system organization (ISO). In order to minimize its operating costs, the DSO formulates its power purchase plan, regional DG power output plan, and the initial total cost price. Under the electricity price signal provided by the DSO, the demand response system (DRS) issues instructions to various controllable devices to adjust the user’s power and reports the optimized preliminary energy demand plan in units of nodes to DSO. Based on the electricity demand plan reported by the DRS, the DSO formulates a new round of power purchase plan.
DG power output plan, and total cost price. The DRS re-optimizes the user scheduling according to the new round of electricity price information given. This is repeated until the power demand plan submitted by the DRS can maximize the economic benefits of the DSO.

The electricity demand submitted by the DSO will have an impact on the market clearing price. Therefore, this paper uses a linear model of price and demand to predict market clearing prices (Huang et al., 2014; Verzijlbergh et al., 2012).

The market electricity price model is as follows:

\[ \lambda_t = a_t + bP_t \]  

where \( b \) represents the sensitivity coefficient of electricity price to electricity demand, which can be estimated by historical data, \( a_t \) is the day-ahead forecasted electricity price, and \( P_t \) is the total electricity demand of the distribution system.

### 3.2 Electricity Price Mechanism Considering the Spatiotemporal Distribution of Loads

The spatiotemporal distribution of load is determined by the power consumption behavior of users. The electricity consumption behavior of users is affected by the nodal price, which can be divided into two levels: time and space. In terms of time, the charging period of EVs and the use period of transferable load are transferred to the low price period. In the terms of space, low price charging pile is selected for electric vehicle charging. The charging needs of EVs are closely related to the travel needs of users. Therefore, the charging behavior of EVs is mainly located in residential areas, work areas and business areas.

The following two steps illustrate the guidance of the DSO’s electricity price mechanism on the spatiotemporal distribution of EV loads.

1) To predict the spatiotemporal distribution of aggregated EV loads

According to the load model in Section 2, the spatiotemporal distribution of EV charging load is calculated based on the urban functional area, and the electric vehicle load in each area is obtained.

2) To formulate an electricity price guidance strategy

According to the nodal price released by DSO, EVs in the same area are guided to choose charging stations with short queuing time and low electricity price at the node where they are located.

### 3.3 Total Cost Price

Wang proposed a cost allocation method for transmission grids based on the power flow tracking method (Wang et al., 2017). This paper applies it to the distribution network level to calculate the total cost price. The total cost price of the distribution network is composed of two parts: the cost of power generating and the cost of power distribution.
3.3.1 Generating Cost

The power resources in DSO include the power purchased from ISO and the self-built DG. Regarding the power purchase nodes and nodes of DG in the distribution network as power generation nodes. The generating cost component of the total cost price can be derived according to the power flow tracking method. The process is as follows. The relationship between the electricity demand of any node in the distribution network and the power generating node k in the period time t:

$$P_{ik,t} = \frac{P_{ik}}{P_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} [A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t} P_{Gi,t} \quad (7)$$

where $P_{ik,t}$ is the node load of the period time t node k, $[A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t}$ is the row k and column i of the period time t downstream tracking matrix, $P_{k}$ is the total power flow of the period time t node k (that is, the sum of the generator power and the upstream node inflow power), and $P_{Gi,t}$ is the power generation power of the period time node i.

The unit generating cost of the load node k during the period time t is:

$$C_{GLk,t} = \frac{1}{P_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} [A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t} P_{Gi,t} C_{Gi,t} \quad (8)$$

where $C_{GLk,t}$ represents the unit generating cost of the node k during the time t and $C_{Gi,t}$ represents the unit power generation cost of the power generation node i (including power purchase nodes and distributed power nodes).

3.3.2 Power Distribution Cost

Power distribution cost is obtained by using the power flow tracking method, which can allocate the fixed cost of the distribution network to each user fairly and reasonably. For the line ij, the annual fixed cost is evenly amortized to each day to get the daily fixed cost $C_{T_{ij}}$. And the total power flow of the line throughout the day is $\sum_{t=1}^{24} F_{ij,t}$. So the unit power distribution cost of the line ij is:

$$C_{T_{ij}} = \frac{C_{T}}{\sum_{t=1}^{24} F_{ij,t}} \quad (9)$$

For the line ij, the fixed cost includes two parts (Chen et al., 2017): fixed asset depreciation expenses $C_{T_{1,ij}}$ and operation and maintenance expenses $C_{T_{2,ij}}$.

$$C_{T_{ij}} = C_{T_{1,ij}} + C_{T_{2,ij}} \quad (10)$$

$$C_{T_{1,ij}} = \frac{\alpha L_{ij}}{T_{d} + 365} \quad (11)$$

$$C_{T_{2,ij}} = \beta a L_{ij} \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha$ is the fixed assets of the line per unit length, which is calculated at 100,000 yuan/km. $L_{ij}$ is the length of the line ij. $T_{d}$ is the line depreciation period, which is calculated to be 20 years. $\beta$ is the operating and maintenance ratio coefficient, which is recorded as 10%.

According to the power flow tracing method, the contribution of the load at the period time t load node k to power flow for the line is $F_{ij,t} [A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t}$. Therefore, the unit power distribution cost of the period time t load node k is:

$$C_{T_{k,t}} = \sum_{i,j,N} F_{ij,t} [A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t} C_{T_{ij}} \quad (13)$$

where $F_{ij,t}$ is the power flow of the period time t and line ij, $P_{ij}$ is the total injected power of the downstream node j of the period time t and line ij, $[A^{-1}\lambda_{i}]_{ik,t}$ is the row i and column k of the period time t reverse flow tracking matrix, and $N_{i}$ is the line set.

In summary, the total cost price of the load node k during the period time t is:

$$C_{k}(t) = C_{GLk,t} + C_{T_{k,t}} \quad (14)$$

4 DAY-AHEAD ECONOMIC DISPATCH STRATEGY FOR DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

In order to clarify that the total cost price mechanism of DSO can guide the temporal and spatial distribution of load, a two-level model of day-ahead economic dispatching of the distribution network is established in this section.

The DSO is responsible for the upper-level optimization model. With the goal of the lowest daily operating cost of the DSO, the power purchase plan and the distributed power output plan are determined based on the constraints of the supply and demand balance of the distribution network and the constraints of the DC power flow. Then, the time sequence flow of the distribution network is calculated, according to the power dispatch of the distribution network. The fixed cost of the distribution network is allocated to each user reasonably and fairly, and the total cost price composed of the generation cost and the distribution cost is formed.

The lower model is in charge of DRS. Based on the total cost price issued by the DSO, the goal is to minimize the user’s electricity cost to optimize the controllable load in the dispatch area.

4.1 Upper-Level Optimization Model

4.1.1 Economic Objective Function

This paper establishes the objective function with the lowest operating cost of the DSO in the dispatching period. In the power market environment, the DSO operating costs $C_{P}$ are composed of generating costs $C_{G}$ and power distribution costs $C_{T}$. The specific expression is:

$$C_{P} = C_{G} + C_{T} \quad (15)$$

$$C_{G} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{GL}P_{GL} \quad (16)$$

$$C_{T} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \sum_{i,j,N} C_{T_{ij}}|F_{ij,t}| \quad (17)$$
4.1.2 Constraints
Optimal dispatch of the distribution network needs to meet various constraints:

1) Energy supply and demand balance constraints:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{Gk,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{l,t}
\]
(18)

2) The DG power output constraints:
\[
P_{Gk,t} = P_{Gk,max,t}
\]
(19)

3) DC power flow constraints:
\[
\begin{cases}
\sum_{i,j} \sum_{l,j} K_{i,j} \sum_{x \in [0,1]} \sum_{i,j} K_{i,j} \sum_{x \in [0,1]} x_{i,j} \theta_{i,j} \\
\sum_{i,j} x_{i,j} \leq \sum_{i,j} x_{i,j} \\
P_{Gk,t} \leq P_{Gk,j,t} \leq P_{Gk,j,t} 
\end{cases}
\]
(20)

where \( A_G \) is the node-unit association matrix, \( P_{Gk,j} \) is the active output vector, \( \theta_t \) is the node phase angle vector, \( x_{i,j} \) and \( P_{Gk,j,t}^{\max} \) are the reactance and transmission power upper limit of the transmission line \( ij \) respectively, and \( \Omega_N \) is the nodal set.

4) Total cost price constraints:
\[
C_{k,t} = \frac{1}{P_{k,t}} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l,j} K_{i,j} \sum_{x \in [0,1]} \sum_{i,j} K_{i,j} \sum_{x \in [0,1]} x_{i,j} \theta_{i,j} \\
\]
(21)

5) Demand response model constraints see the lower-level optimization model.

4.2 Lower Level Optimization Model
The DSO publishes the total cost price information to the DRS. The goal of the DRS to optimize the dispatch of controllable loads in the jurisdiction is to minimize users’ electricity cost. \( N_N \) is the number of load nodes in the distribution network, \( T \) is the number of scheduling periods, \( N_{a,k} \) and \( N_{a,k} \) are the number of users, and the number of EVs on the nodes \( k \), respectively. The DRS optimal scheduling objective function is as follows.
\[
\min \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} C_{k,t} \left( \sum_{u=1}^{n} P_{k,u,t} + P_{ev}(t) \right)
\]
(22)

where \( P_{k,u,t} \) is the single user’s electricity power, which concludes the controllable loads and uncontrollable loads.

The optimal dispatch model of the DRS is subject to the constraints controllable load (23) to (31) and the aggregated EVs charging load characteristic (3) to (5). After the DRS obtains the power consumption plan of each user, it reports to the DSO on a node basis.

In this section, controllable loads mainly include transferable load (such as washing machines, dishwashers, etc.), reducible load (such as lights, etc.), and temperature-controlled load (such as air conditioning, etc.).

4.2.1 Transferable Load
Transferable load is the device whose operating period can be transferred without interruption, and has constant power during operation. Assuming that the transferable range of the device is \([t_a, t_a] \). The binary variable \( \alpha_a \) represents the operating state of the device, which equals 1 if the device is in the on state and 0 otherwise. The binary variable \( \beta_a \) represents the action of turning on and turning off of the device, which equals 1 if the device is turned on, and 0 otherwise. The model is expressed as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_a(t) &= \alpha_a(t) \rho_{a,rate} \\
\rho_a(t) &= 0, \quad t \in [t_a, t_a]
\end{aligned}
\]
(23)
\[
\sum_{t_a} \alpha_a(t) = x_a \\
\sum_{t_a} \beta_a(t) = 1 \\
\sum_{t_a} \alpha_a(t) \geq \beta_a(t) x_a, \quad t \in [t_a, t_a]
\]
(26)

where \( \rho_a \) is the operating power of the device \( a \), \( \rho_{a,rate} \) is the rated power of the device \( a \), and \( x_a \) is the number of the device operating periods.

4.2.2 Reducible Load
Reducible load refers to the device whose operating period is fixed, and its power can be changed within a certain range. Its range is \([\rho_{a,\min}, \rho_{a,\max}]\). The model is expressed as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_a(t) &= \alpha_a(t) \rho_a \\
\alpha_a(t) &= 1, \quad t \in [t_a, t_a]
\end{aligned}
\]
(27)
(28)

4.2.3 Temperature-Controlled Load
Temperature-controlled load is the device that determines the operating time and operating power according to changes in temperature. Taking air conditioner as an example considers that the user has a comfortable range of temperature, which can be expressed as \([T_{min}, T_{max}]\). The first stage model of thermodynamics is used to express the temperature-controlled load operating state, and the model is expressed as follows:
\[
T_{in} (t+1) = T_{in} (t) e^{-1/R_C} + R_{p_a} (t) \eta (1-e^{-\Delta T/R_C}) T_{out} (t) (1-e^{-1/R_C})
\]
(29)
\[
0 \geq \rho_a (t) \leq \rho_{rate}
\]
(30)
\[
T_{min} \leq T_{in} \leq T_{max}
\]
(31)

where \( R \) is the room thermal resistance, \( C \) is the room heat capacity, \( \eta \) is the equipment energy efficiency, \( T_{in} \) is the room temperature, \( T_{out} \) is the ambient temperature, and \( \rho_a \) is the equipment operating power.

5 SOLUTION METHODOLOGY
Total cost price is closely related to DSO’s scheduling plan and nodal load. On the premise of meeting the power demand in the
The second step is to solve the economic dispatch model of the distribution network with DG and determine the DSO power purchase plan and the DG power output plan.

The third step is to calculate the total cost price. According to the power dispatch in the network, the total cost price is calculated according to Eqs 16–23.

In the fourth step, the DRS optimizes the dispatch of the controllable load in the area based on the total cost price, and then reports the power plan to the DSO based on the node.

The fifth step is to update \( C_{P}^{k+1}, C_{E}^{k+1} \), and check them with the previous iteration. If the convergence criterion is met, the iteration is terminated and the calculation is finished. Otherwise, update the nodal load value and the market power purchase price value, and go to the second step to continue the calculation.

The iteration termination condition is set as:

\[
\| C_{P}^{k+1} - C_{P}^{k} \| \leq \epsilon_{p} \quad (32)
\]

\[
\max \| C_{E}^{k+1} - C_{E}^{k} \| \leq \epsilon_{e} \quad (33)
\]

where \( \epsilon_{p} \) and \( \epsilon_{e} \) are the convergence parameters. Eqs 32, 33 determine the boundary that when changing the spatiotemporal distribution of nodal load and adjusting the DG power output, the total cost price and DSO operating cost are unchanged basically.

### 6 CASE STUDY

#### 6.1 Case Introduction

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed the day-ahead economic dispatch strategy for the distribution network considering the total cost price-based demand response, the modified IEEE 33-bus system is employed to carry out the example analysis of this paper. Based on the original network structure, distributed photovoltaic (PV) and micro gas turbines (GT) have been added. The detailed parameters of DG are shown in Table 1. Distributed PV power output obeys normal distribution (Yan et al., 2018). The area is divided into residential areas, work areas, business areas, and other areas. The distribution network topology and distributed power access locations are shown in Figure 4. The electricity value predicted by DSO based on historical market data is shown in Table 2. The convergence parameters \( \epsilon_{p} \) and \( \epsilon_{e} \) are 1 e\(^{-2}\), and the price sensitivity coefficient is 1 e\(^{-4}\) yuan/(kW·h\(^2\)). The CPU computing time is 815.2 s, which is fully compatible for online use of day-ahead scheduling.

The assumptions in this paper are as follows:

1) Each node in the residential area is given the number of users \( N_{h} = 100 \) and the number of charging piles \( N_{b} = 30 \), and the

### Table 1 | Distributed power supply parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Type of power</th>
<th>Capacity/(kW h)</th>
<th>Price/(yuan/(kW h))(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3, 22, 26</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18, 33</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
residential household appliances include 7 types of transferable loads, 2 types of reducible load, and 1 type of temperature-controlled load (air conditioning). The start period and end period of each device can be scheduled to obey normal distribution $t \sim N(\mu, 1.0^2)$ between $[0, 24]$.

2) Each node in the work area and the business area is given the number of charging piles $N_{w}^e = 20$ and $N_{r}^e = 45$, respectively, and the temperature-controlled load of the work area and the commercial building in the business area participate in the DRS optimal dispatch.

3) EVs and household appliances in the system use the same parameters (Zhao et al., 2013). The power consumption characteristics and parameters of controllable load equipment and EVs between different load nodes in the same area are the same, and the power consumption characteristics and parameters of the same node are obtained by Monte Carlo sampling. The nodal load curve of each area is shown in Figure 5.

### 6.2 Total Cost Pricing Calculations

In order to illustrate the influence of DG on the distribution network’s power flow, taking time 20:00 as an example. The DG power output of node 18 and node 33 are both 200kW, and the power flow distribution of the improved IEEE 33-bus system with DG is shown in Figure 6. This shows that the introduction of DG can change the power flow distribution of the original distribution network.

The total cost price in this paper includes generating cost and power distribution cost. Among them, since the cost of power distribution is related to the location of the nodal load, the power distribution costs will increase with the increase of the distance from the power source. As shown in Figure 7, the shape of the total cost price curve from node 1 to node 17 is roughly the same, while the magnitude of the electricity price increases in a step-like manner. This is because the nodes from 1 to 17 are all powered by node 1, and the power generation cost is the same. In the case of the same power generation cost, the distribution cost of the node...
farther from the power supply node is higher, so the total cost price from node 1 to node 17 gradually increases.

6.3 Load Guidance Results

6.3.1 Aggregated EVs Charging Power

The EVs charging load model is used to simulate the charging power of EVs in various regions. The upper and lower limits of the aggregated EVs energy in each region and the EV load curve after response are shown in Figure 8. The upper and lower limits are represented by blue and red solid lines respectively.

Analyzing the simulation results in Figure 8, we can see the distribution of EV charging demand in various regions. For the aggregated EVs charging load in residential areas (taking node 13 as an example) and the aggregated EVs charging load in work areas (taking node 27 as an example), the elastic range of the aggregated EVs charging load is larger from 8:00 a.m. to 17:00. The main reason is the regular commuting behavior of EV users. Among them, the charging period time of EVs in residential areas has changed significantly, which is reflected in the transfer of the charging period time from 15:00 to 23:00 to 2:00 to 6:00. This is because, under the influence of the total cost price incentives, the electricity price is lower in the early morning hours, so the DRS transfers a load of EVs to this period time as much as possible to minimize the charging cost. For the aggregated EVs charging load in the business area (taking node 19 as an example), it can be seen that the elastic range of the aggregated EVs charging load is relatively small. This is because the EVs stay in the business area for a short time, and the degree of dispatch ability is low.

6.3.2 Controllable Load

The controllable load of the residential area includes transferable load, reduced load, temperature control load, and EV charging load. Under the scheduling strategy proposed in this paper, the power situation of the nodal load in the residential area before and after the electricity price guidance is shown in Figure 9.

It can be seen that the load after price guidance is reduced as a whole, because the user’s comfort is sacrificed, so that the power consumption of reducible load and temperature-controlled load is reduced. According to the comparison before and after electricity price guidance, the transferable load is transferred...
from 18:00 to 24:00 to 0:00 to 3:00 with lower electricity price, which plays a role in smoothing the system load curve.

### 6.4 Comparison of Economic Benefits of the DSO

According to the data analysis in Table 3, the daily operating cost of the DSO before and after price guidance is reduced by 10.93%. After calculation, the power distribution cost of the distribution network is 17,389.48 yuan, indicating that this strategy can realize the effective recovery of the investment and construction cost of the distribution network.

It can be obtained from Table 4 that for flexible users, such as nodes 15, 19, 28, after the total cost price is guided, the electricity costs are all reduced, and the costs are saved by 26.62, 23.00, and 13.45%, respectively. The reduction effect is significant. For inflexible users, such as nodes 23, and 4, since they do not participate in electricity price guidance, the electricity costs have all risen, both increasing by 2.15%.

### 7 CONCLUSION

Based on the establishment of the spatial-temporal distribution model of charging load of aggregated EVs, this paper proposes a two-level optimization model of distribution network, which combines the total cost price with spatial-temporal attribute and considers the demand response of EVs and controllable loads. The model adopts the interactive iterative solution of upper and lower levels to achieve a win-win situation between users and distribution network.

Simulation study case based on a modified IEEE 33-bus system shows that proposed electricity price mechanism can guide EVs and controllable loads to respond to the market electricity price and dispatching demand within the temporal-spatial dispatchable range. The proposed two-layer model of day ahead economic dispatching can optimize the distribution of power generation and consumption of distribution network and optimize the behavior of users’ power consumption, so as to reduce the operation cost of DSO and users’ power cost.

This paper ignores the impact of the output uncertainty of renewable distributed energy on the economic dispatching of distribution network, resulting in a deviation from the actual results. For future work, it is to increase the uncertainty analysis of renewable distributed energy output.
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## APPENDIX

### Appendix Table A1 | Related parameters of transmission lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line number</th>
<th>Head of the line</th>
<th>End of the line</th>
<th>x/p.u.</th>
<th>Line power constraints /MW</th>
<th>Length/km</th>
<th>Fixed cost/yuan</th>
<th>Daily fixed costs/yuan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>12054.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2511</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>6027.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1884</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>2600.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1941</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>2920.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>80.60</td>
<td>2429.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6189</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2351</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>56.30</td>
<td>1696.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>3767.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>330.00</td>
<td>9945.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>7534.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1238</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7129</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>2260.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>2260.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3013.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.721</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>2019.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9041.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3554</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>1808.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.4784</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>2073.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9373</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>81.30</td>
<td>2450.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.3083</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>1356.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7091</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>1205.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.7011</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>1808.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.1447</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>1958.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.9037</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.7006</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>1808.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.2585</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3013.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>1506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.3619</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>40.60</td>
<td>1223.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.5302</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>1356.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix Table A2 | EV sampling parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region type</th>
<th>EV network access time distribution</th>
<th>EV off-grid time distribution</th>
<th>Initial SOC distribution</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential area</td>
<td>N (18,1.42)</td>
<td>N (7,5.1.02)</td>
<td>N (0.51,0.12)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work area</td>
<td>N (8,1.52)</td>
<td>N (18,1.02)</td>
<td>N (0.51,0.12)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business area</td>
<td>N (10,1.52)</td>
<td>N (12,1.02)</td>
<td>N (0.51,0.12)</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (18,1.52)</td>
<td>N (20,1.02)</td>
<td>N (0.51,0.12)</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>