The impact of climate change on food systems, diet quality, nutrition, and health outcomes: A narrative review
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Many consequences of climate change undermine the stability of global food systems, decreasing food security and diet quality, and exposing vulnerable populations to multiple forms of malnutrition. The emergence of pandemics such as Covid-19 exacerbate the situation and make interactions even more complex. Climate change impacts food systems at different levels, including changes in soil fertility and crop yield, composition, and bioavailability of nutrients in foods, pest resistance, and risk of malnutrition. Sustainable and resilient food systems, coupled with climate-smart agriculture, are needed to ensure sustainable diets that are adequately diverse, nutritious, and better aligned with contextual ecosystem functions and environmental conservation. Robust tools and indicators are urgently needed to measure the reciprocal food systems-climate change interaction, that is further complicated by pandemics, and how it impacts human health.
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Introduction

Many consequences of climate change threaten food security and diet quality, thereby exposing vulnerable populations across continents to multiple forms of malnutrition. Poor diet is a major cause of mortality and morbidity (Afshin et al., 2019; Micha et al., 2020). Currently, about 690 million people are hungry and the number is expected to surpass 840 million by 2030 (FAO, 2020). As of 2020, 149.2 million children under 5 years of age were stunted and 45.4 million were wasted, partially due to poor diets. Simultaneously, 38.9 million children below 5 years of age were overweight in 2020 (WHO, 2021). These trends are partly driven by inequality and unsustainable foods systems which cannot satisfy food security and nutritional requirements for all. Apart from climate change, other external shocks that adversely impact foods system include
pandemics, such as the on-going COVID-19 pandemic, that was projected to add an additional 83–132 million people into the undernourished bracket by 2020 (WHO, 2020).

Climate change worsens unsustainable food systems by directly impacting soil fertility, rain patterns, crop yields and food production, food-nutrient and anti-nutrient composition, and nutrient bioavailability. These changes decrease macro- and micronutrients available in the global food supply. Further problems arise from indirect impacts such as pests that result in increased occurrence of spoilage and food safety hazards at various stages of the food chain from primary production to post-harvest protection through to consumption. Each of these factors may have deleterious impacts on human health (Parfitt et al., 2010; Tirado et al., 2010; Hodges et al., 2011).

Measuring this complex and reciprocal food systems-climate change interaction, that is further complicated by pandemics, remains a major challenge especially in how it impacts human health.

This narrative review is based on the outcomes of a Technical Meeting organized by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) from 19 to 21 October 2020 with the aim of understanding the effectiveness of food-based approaches to improve diet quality under our rapidly changing food systems. This paper covers how food systems and dietary patterns have changed at the community level over time. The vicious and reciprocal cycle between food systems and climate change, in relation to food systems vulnerability and resilience, is discussed.

The impact of these interactions on diet quality in terms of food-nutrient, nutrient deficiencies and ultimately the risk of malnutrition is also analyzed. Lastly, this paper highlights the need to develop appropriate measurement tools that can be used to monitor and evaluate the different components and levels (micro- and macro-) of the entire food system.

**Climate change, food systems and biodiversity**

Food systems comprise all activities from production, post-harvest storage, transportation, processing, distribution, trade and marketing, regulation, consumption of food, and the outcomes of nutrition and health, socio-economy, and the environment. Food systems constitute the first action track of the Decade of Action on Nutrition (WHO, 2017; Demaio and Branca, 2018; Turner et al., 2020). The food environment is an integral part of food systems and consists of an external domain (food availability, product properties, prices, marketing, and regulation) and a personal domain (accessibility, affordability, convenience, and desirability), both of which influence food acquisition, consumption and ultimately nutrition and health outcomes (Turner et al., 2018, 2020; UNSCN, 2019).

**Evolution of food systems**

The advent of agriculture in the Neolithic revolution marked a shift to predominantly plant-based diets (Leitzmann, 2014). Food systems further evolved with the development of city-states and governance, food storage and means of transportation, trading routes, and consumer demands. Science and technology revolutionized food production, processing, preservation, and transportation (Hueston and McLeod, 2012) with a shift to the consumption of processed energy and macronutrient-dense foods (Vermeulen et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the global meat demand is on the rise, especially within LMICs due to increasing urbanization, education, and affluence (Bruinsma, 2003; Zhang et al., 2017; Headey et al., 2018; Adesogan et al., 2020). For example, per capita meat consumption increased by 20 kg from 1961 to 2014, mainly in Asia and Africa (Vermeulen et al., 2020), while it decreased in many Western countries. High-income countries consume almost six times more milk products and nine times more eggs per capita than low-income countries (Herforth et al., 2019). The global demand for livestock and dairy is projected to increase by 70 and 60%, respectively, between 2010 and 2050.

There is a reciprocal and cyclical interaction between foods systems and climate change. Within the past 40 years, agricultural production has doubled and food supply chains have been globalized (Niles et al., 2017; Von Braun, 2018). Mass food production practices (e.g., fertilizer use, expanded crop and livestock production) and deforestation lead to increased amounts of greenhouse gases and attendant climate change, which in turn result in reduced food production (Niles et al., 2017). Climate change has impacted food systems through weather events such as drought, flooding, and heat waves with attendant loss of life, livelihood, and reduced productivity related to lower soil fertility, disrupted rain patterns, and acid rain from heavy fertilizer use (Niles et al., 2017; Von Braun, 2018). This vicious cycle leads to food insecurity and malnutrition in all its forms, environmental damage, water scarcity, and the emergence of new human, plant, and animal diseases (Tirado et al., 2009; Niles et al., 2017; Von Braun, 2018; Popkin et al., 2020).
The popularization of dietary practices, such as vegetarianism and veganism, in recent decades has resulted in dietary pattern changes that reflect an increased awareness of the environmental footprint associated with the consumption of animal source foods (Leitzmann, 2014). Increased interest in these diets extends beyond a focus on environmental health alone. Unprocessed, vegetarian diets have been associated with several health benefits including longevity and lower rates of diet-related, non-communicable diseases (Burkitt and Trowell, 1977; Keys, 1980; Trowell and Burkitt, 1981; Leitzmann, 2014).

Nevertheless, recent attempts to assess shifts in dietary patterns focus more on the link to socioeconomic status without including how these dietary patterns link to nutrition and health outcomes. Da Costa and colleagues reported that animal source foods were consumed more in western contexts and with increasing income and urbanization (da Costa et al., 2022). At the same time, the definition of a healthy diet has been confusing. O’Hearn et al., assessed dietary patterns in 185 countries and concluded that Western and Latin American regions had healthier dietary patterns compared to Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, but they failed to explain the global rise in the double burden of malnutrition (O’Hearn et al., 2019). This shows that better and more sensitive metrics and tools are needed to better understand the complex dimensions of food systems. Further discussion of the nutritional impacts of dietary patterns occurs in section Climate change, nutrient adequacy, and nutrition and health outcomes.

Climate change and biodiversity loss

Sustainable agriculture, nutrition and the livelihoods of millions of people depend on the diversity of crops and livestock species, and intra-species genetic diversity (Sunderland, 2011). The biodiversity of plants and animal species consumed is directly correlated with food security (Sunderland, 2011). Genetic diversity is a critical factor for the continued improvement of crop varieties and livestock breeds, and determines the extent to which genetic resources are passed down to future generations (Rosendal, 2013).

Unfortunately, there has been a dramatic loss of biodiversity, including the diversity of genes, species, and ecosystems due to habitat destruction (i.e., settlement, changing agricultural practices, deforestation, industrialization), global warming, and the uncontrolled spread of invasive species. Pollution, nitrogen deposition, and shifts in precipitation further exacerbate biodiversity loss (Cramer et al., 2017). Over the past 50 years, agriculture has focused too heavily on conventional cereal and horticultural crops leading to the loss of indigenous and traditional food crops (Akinola et al., 2020).

Climate change, nutrient adequacy, and nutrition and health outcomes

Climate change impacts food systems and thereby global food production via changes in yield, biomass food composition and nutritional quality which in turn directly influence human nutrition and health (Glopan, 2020). Climate change can also disrupt food supply chains and transportation, hence food price volatility, and compromised food security, nutrition and human health (FAO, 2020). It exacerbates inequities, and poorer, vulnerable groups tend to suffer more as they are less resilient to shocks (Tirado et al., 2013; Niles et al., 2017; FAO, 2020). Efforts should be put in place to make food systems more climate-smart and nutrition-sensitive, from production to consumption (Bryan et al., 2019; UNSCN, 2020b). Food-based dietary guidelines that include sustainability criteria can help to promote those diets that are good for human and planetary health (UNSCN, 2020a; UN, 2021).
Impact of climate change on food nutrient content

There is a lack of evidence regarding the impact of climate change on human nutrition and health indicators. Climate change may affect human health by altering the food nutrient content via increasing concentrations of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere (Dietterich et al., 2015). Elevated CO$_2$ results in more rapid growth rates but also reduces plant protein content and micronutrients such as calcium, iron, and zinc (Taub et al., 2008; Taub, 2010; Fernando et al., 2012; Loladze, 2014; Myers et al., 2014; Ziska and McConnell, 2016; Medek et al., 2017; Myers, 2017; Smith et al., 2017; Uddling et al., 2018). Most crops grown under elevated CO$_2$—except for legumes and C4 crops—systematically exhibit decreased concentrations of nitrogen and protein in the edible portion (Cotrufo et al., 1998; Pleijel et al., 1999; Idso and Idso, 2001; Jablonski et al., 2002). C3 grains and tubers including rice, wheat, barley, and potatoes experience 7–15% reductions in protein content, whereas C3 legumes and C4 crops show either exceedingly small or insignificant reductions (Myers et al., 2014). Elevated CO$_2$ concentrations of 550 ppm can lead to 3–11% declines in the zinc and iron concentrations of cereal grains and legumes (Myers et al., 2014). Under more extreme conditions, CO$_2$ concentrations of 690 ppm will decrease growth in the global availability of nutrients by 2 to 3.4% for protein, 2.8 to 3.6%, and zinc 2.5 to 3.4% (Beach et al., 2019). Overall, the combined effects of projected atmospheric CO$_2$ increases (i.e., carbon nutrient penalty, CO$_2$ fertilization, and climate effects on productivity) will decrease growth in the global availability of nutrients by 19.5% for protein, 13.6% for iron, and 14.6% for zinc relative to expected technology and market gains by 2050.

Climate change vs. animal source foods

As discussed in section Evolution of food systems, animal product consumption is on the rise, however an inverse relationship has been observed between animal product consumption and environmental health. This poses a challenge for improving global nutritional status, as the consumption of animal source foods has been linked to improved growth and development in young children, particularly in LMICs. Ecological studies indicate an inverse association between increasing meat consumption per capita and decreasing child stunting rates (UNICEF and The World Bank Group, 2017; Headey et al., 2018). This is attributed to the greater bioavailability of nutrients such as protein and iron from this category of foods. Data from Demographic Health surveys in 49 countries indicates that across sub-Saharan Africa and Asia, dairy, egg, and meat consumption are low while fish consumption is relatively higher (Headey et al., 2018). The urbanization of LMICs seems to be positively associated with egg and fish consumption, and negatively associated with meat consumption (Headey et al., 2018).

Alternative dietary protein sources such as plant proteins, edible insects, seaweed, microalgae and cell-culture based proteins (e.g., cultured milk and eggs; lab-grown meats) (Thavamani et al., 2020; Tso et al., 2020) may offer a suitable alternative to animal source foods with a lower environmental footprint. Edible insects have garnered renewed interest recently as a sustainable protein source. While more than 2,000 species of edible insects collected from wild sources have been identified in traditional diets around the world, a select few have emerged over the past decade as suitable for farming and mass production (Halloran et al., 2018). These insect species convert organic feed substrates very efficiently into animal tissue (protein, fat, and other compounds). Edible insects can be produced on less space, using less water and feed, and they produce less greenhouse gas emission than traditional livestock making them attractive from an environmental sustainability standpoint (Halloran et al., 2016, 2017).

Further research is warranted to evaluate the nutritional quality of these alternative dietary protein sources, with specific focus on the composition and bioavailability of protein, amino acids, essential fatty acids, vitamin B12, zinc and iron and the concentration of anti-nutrient compounds that decrease bioavailability.

Emergence of diseases and impact on health

Access to safe water remains an extremely important global health issue. More than two billion people live in the dry regions of the world and suffer disproportionately from malnutrition and other health risks related to contaminated or insufficient safe water. The absence of safe water with poor sanitation systems, extreme precipitations with either excessive rainfall, or prolonged drought, all increase exposure to pathogenic microbes resulting in enteric infections and diarrheal diseases. These exacerbate infant and young child malnutrition, leading to retarded growth and development resulting in wasting and stunting (Fink et al., 2011; Guerriat et al., 2013; Ngure et al., 2014). Increased flooding, precipitation, rising temperatures, and other extremes of climate change, are projected to increase the burden of diarrheal diseases in low-income regions. Climate change has also been shown to play a role in the spatial and temporal distribution of malaria and is expected to increase the risk of emerging zoonotic diseases. Changes in the survival of pathogenes in the environment, changes in migration pathways,
carriers and vectors, and changes in the natural ecosystems are all predicted to increase health risks to mankind. Human encroachment into wildlife habitat, including bushmeat hunting and agricultural expansion, has increased the risks of exposure to zoonotic diseases such as HIV, Ebola or SARS-CoV-2 (Goldberg et al., 2012; McGrath, 2020). Furthermore, consumption of poorer quality diets as climate change alters to food system can not only increase the risk of non-communicable diseases as discussed in a prior section, but also increase an individual's susceptibility to infectious diseases (Humphries et al., 2021).

Interventions to adapt to changes in food systems

As discussed in section Impact of climate change on food nutrient content, climate change is expected to lower the nutrient content of foods. This poses a significant threat because prior attempts to remedy malnutrition via agricultural improvements have focused on achieving caloric sufficiency, leaving hundreds of millions of people to still suffer from micronutrients and protein deficiencies (Nelson et al., 2009; Kahane et al., 2013; Myers et al., 2013, 2017; Springmann et al., 2016; Smith and Myers, 2018; Soares et al., 2019). Interventions such as biofortification (i.e., increase of the micronutrient content of crops by genetic selection), fortification (addition of micronutrients to common edible products that are manufactured in formal and centralized factories), and as a last resource supplementation (provision of pharmaceutical and concentrated forms of micronutrients), have led to significant improvements in population health (Clune et al., 2017; Olson et al., 2021).

Biofortification is a process used to enhance the micronutrient content of staple crops via agronomic practices (i.e., micronutrient fertilizer), conventional plant breeding, and/or genetic modification, making it a food-based strategy with heightened nutritional status at harvest (Khush et al., 2012). Since biofortification is a localized nutrition solution, it is less vulnerable to value-chain disruptions. Furthermore, it allows smallholder farmers across Africa and South Asia to own the solution, as these crops are grown in their fields and the seeds only have to be purchased once as a single investment (Khush et al., 2012). The effects of biofortification on improving nutrition are likewise encouraging, and clinical studies have shown significant improvements in child iron deficiency (Afolami et al., 2021), serum retinol (Afolami et al., 2021), β-carotene concentration (Talsma et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2021). Challenges do remain, however, since sustainability and population coverage are not guaranteed (Bhutta et al., 2013). Biofortification is more sustainable among rural communities as they depend on agriculture for both food and income. Going forward, nutrient bioavailability and anti-nutrient composition of biofortified crops require further attention.

Food fortification, under a public health perspective, is a strategy that takes advantage of using existing food products as micronutrient carriers, manufactured by large, centralized food industries. Maize flour, oil, rice, salt, and wheat flour are the primary food fortification vehicles used to deliver micronutrients. The main advantage of food fortification is that

Climate change and food systems: strengths and weaknesses, interventions, and metrics

Strengths, resilience, and vulnerability of food systems

Food systems are faced with various dynamic shocks (Meyer, 2020), which are mostly anthropogenic, including economic, trade, and public health issues such as disease outbreaks (Hamilton et al., 2020). Although climate change is primarily considered an environmental factor, the predicted impacts of climate change, such as increased disease transmission, have wide-sweeping impacts. Food systems depend on the availability of human labor and are easily disrupted by both temporary and chronic shocks. Ebola outbreaks in West Africa (Figuéi, 2016) disrupted workers movement, thereby inhibiting food production and food supply chains in the region, while the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has had a similar impact globally (Aday and Aday, 2020; Matthews, 2020; Belton et al., 2021; Weersink et al., 2021).

Many food systems rely on international trade (Gephart et al., 2017; Kummu et al., 2020) and are prone to disruption when countries impose trade restrictions such as export bans on foods to safeguard domestic consumption (Seekell et al., 2017; Aday and Aday, 2020). Food systems that significantly rely on local institutions, knowledge, and farmers are most resilient (Pingali et al., 2005; Hamilton et al., 2020; Kummu et al., 2020; Love et al., 2021). Resilient food systems are based on diversification in production and distribution channels and reduced waste, which cumulatively increase food and nutrition security (Schipanski et al., 2016; Seekell et al., 2017). Similarly, food systems that foster biodiversity have been shown to contribute toward more sustainable food production systems (Snapp et al., 2010). Social factors are another crucial element in food system resiliency. Women are the major food systems stakeholders (Nkengla-Asi et al., 2017), yet there are still large gender-based disparities in access to opportunities to guarantee sustainable and healthy diets for women (Kusakabe, 2004). Food systems fostering gender equity have been shown to be more resilient to shocks, and examples from India and Malawi (Schipanski et al., 2016) have demonstrated that efforts aimed at reducing social injustice, including gender inequity, can foster sustainable and resilient food systems.
it does not require behavior change modifications since common staples and condiments are used as the fortification vehicles; and social marketing is not needed in fortification programmes.

Iodine deficiency disorders have almost disappeared in most countries with the addition of iodine to salt. Cereal flours containing folic acid have decreased the prevalence of neural tube defects in both industrialized and LMICs where their consumption is high and the dietary intake of folate is low. Vitamin A deficiency is currently being addressed with fortified sugars and oils in Central America and Eastern and Southern Africa, and iron deficiency can be best prevented via fortified rice, wheat and maize flours at the population level. Additional micronutrient deficiencies (e.g., zinc, vitamins B1, B2, B12, and D) may also be effectively targeted in the future via mainstream fortification vehicles (Dary and Hurrell, 2006; Keats et al., 2019).

Populations most in need often do not receive the fortified commodities targeted for consumption. This is in part due to the fact most food fortification programs are private sector driven, and without adequate private-public sector partnerships, as is the current case (Olson et al., 2021), populations with low purchasing power cannot afford commercialized fortified commodities (Dary, 2007). Supplementation has the restrictions of high cost and exceptionally low coverage. Multiple interventions may be used to effectively target the same population group simultaneously, and this could potentially lead to unintended excess intakes (Olson et al., 2021).

**Metrics to measure the impact of food systems**

Measuring the impact of changing food systems on diet quality and human health outcomes remains a challenge due to the multi-faceted nature of foods systems and the fact that the existing criterion for a healthy diet is universal instead of being country or context-specific; each country should have domesticated food-based dietary guidelines.

When evaluating diet quality, it is important to consider the data source and how it is processed, interpreted, and used. The source of dietary data can be at the level of the household or the individual. This data can then be processed in the form of metrics or benchmarks, food composition tables, or statistical analysis. Metrics and benchmarks for processing data include nutrient adequacy; consumption of specific health or disease promoting dietary components (e.g., fat, sugar, salt, fruits, and vegetables), and overall quality of diet. No single method is perfect, and all methods have their strengths and weaknesses.

Recent developments such as a resource guide in supporting countries to strengthen nutrition actions based on the policy recommendations of the Second International Conference on Nutrition (ICN2) (WHO, 2018) and the endorsement of the CFS voluntary guidelines for food systems and nutrition are a first step in the right direction toward food systems transformation. However, tools to evaluate the impact of these recommendations and climate-smart solutions are needed. Such tools should be developed with due regard to trade-offs related to crop yield vs. nutrient content and bioavailability, nutritional benefits related to increased animal source food consumption vs. environmental footprint and biodiversity; win-win of moving toward more healthy dietary patterns that are also more sustainable; health consequences of altered food intake behavior; and cross-cutting issues such as gender, urbanization, and food wastage (Figure 1).

Future food systems must find ways to provide adequate nourishment without environmental trade-offs.

Some such remaining questions are: (1) What is the impact of climate change on crop nutrient density and bioavailability; are there particularly sensitive nutrients and how does anti-nutrient content vary? (2) What is the role of alternative proteins such as edible insects in the food systems value chain; what is their implication on environmental footprint and food waste? (3) What is the linkage between climate change, sanitary conditions, diet quality and health; what is the role of environmental enteric dysfunction, diarrhea, and mycotoxins? (4) What are the most appropriate tools to holistically assess the foods systems value chain; what role can stable isotope techniques play? (5) What is the minimum set of indicators that can be used to measure the entire food systems continuum (from food production to health including functional outcomes)? Promising innovations in foods systems assessment tools could range from stable isotope techniques (Owino et al., 2017; Owino and Mouratidou, 2019) to assess nutrient bioavailability, functional nutrition outcomes such as body composition, soil fertility, water use efficiency (Wang et al., 2021); metabolomics to reveal the metabolic signature of changes in dietary behaviors and food composition (Jin et al., 2019); to geospatial mapping (Gashu et al., 2021; Giller and Zingore, 2021) to predict the risk of malnutrition based on soil and crop nutrient profiles; (6) What are the implications of climate change on diet quality in the context of population displacement, urbanization, and shifting consumer behavior? (7) How can we make nutrition and health-related research useful to policy makers? (8) What partnerships and collaborations are needed; how can other sectors and disciplines be brought in to comprehensively understand the food systems continuum?

**Conclusion**

Climate change and unsustainable food systems interact reciprocally with adverse impact on food and nutrition security. Climate change impacts food systems via multiple pathways, including soil fertility, water availability, reduced food yield, reduced food nutrient concentration and bioavailability, increased food anti-nutrient content and increased episodes of infectious diseases. Unsustainable food systems characterized
A food systems continuum and value chain schema to address the link between climate change and diet quality and identify entry opportunities for stable isotope techniques relating to soil, water, and seed biodiversity, food production, nutrient retention and bioavailability, and nutrition, health, and cognitive outcomes.

FIGURE 1

Drought, floods, erosion, heat

Losses in yield, changes in nutrient content & food composition

Poor diets due to stress on livelihoods

Biodiversity conservation and promotion

Crop diversification

Fortification

Marketing regulations

Reduced shelf-life

Seeds, biodiversity

Storage & processing

Processing to preserve nutrients & enhance bioavailability

Nutrition education

Soils, water, seeds, biodiversity

Food Production

Transport

Nutrient protection & awareness (eg labeling)

Breastfeeding

Nutrient & bioavailability (iron, zinc, provit A, protein)

Storage & processing

Retail

Nutrient retention & bioavailability

Nutrient status, body composition, growth

Food Loss and Waste

Food Production

Marketing regulations

Nutrition education

Nutrient & bioavailability

Nutrient status, body composition, growth

Interventions to improve diets

Selecting traits (breeding) to + nutrient comp.

Gut function

Author contributions

VO, CK, BE, MP, LE, NR, WL, and DT wrote sections of this manuscript. All authors also provided independent review.

Funding

All costs related to the virtual Technical Meeting on Leveraging of Stable Isotope Techniques in Evaluating Food-based Approaches to Improve Diet Quality and the Open Access fee for this review were contributed by the IAEA.
**Acknowledgments**

We are indebted to all participants of the virtual Technical Meeting on Leveraging of Stable Isotope Techniques in Evaluating Food-based Approaches to Improve Diet Quality (EVT1904254) organized by the IAEA from 19 to 21 October 2020 for their contribution to discussions that inspired the writing of this review. All internal reviewers [Stineke Oenema, Executive Secretary, UN Nutrition; Cornelia Loechli, Head, Nutritional and Health Related Environmental Studies Section, Division of Human Health, International Atomic Energy Agency, Omar Dary, Health Science Specialist, Bureau for Global Health, United States Agency for International Development, Maria Xipsiti, Food and Nutrition Officer, Nutrition and Food Systems Division, FAO] are appreciated for their editorial input.

**References**


**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s note**

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.
Climate change and nutrition: creating a climate for nutrition security. Glob. Food Crisis. 1–154.


